



COUNCIL OF GOVERNORS' MEETING (held in PUBLIC)

Wednesday 5 March 2025 from 3.00pm - 5.30pm

Boardroom, Trust Headquarters, Strayside Wing, Harrogate District Hospital, Lancaster Park Road, Harrogate, HG2 7SX.

Agenda items listed in blue text are to be received for information / assurance with no discussion time allocated within the agenda. Papers for these items may be found within the Supplementary paper pack

AGENDA

Specialist Update Briefing

3.00pm-3.45pm: Health Inequalities (Jack Lewis from HNY ICB and Matt Graham, HDFT Director of Strategy)

(followed by 15minute break for the Council meeting to commence at 4pm)

Item No.	Item	Lead	Action	Paper
1.0	Welcome and Apologies for Absence	Chair	Note	Verbal
2.0	Declarations of Interest and Conflicts of Interest	Chair	Note	Attached
3.0	Minutes of the previous meeting: - CoG: 4 December 2024	Chair	Approve	Attached
4.0	Matters arising and Action Log	Chair	Note	Attached
5.0	Chair's Update	Chair	Note	Verbal
6.0	Chief Executive's Update	Chief Executive	Note	Verbal
6.1	Corporate Risk Register		Note	Blue Box Item
6.2	Integrated Board Report		Note	Blue Box Item
7.0	Lead Governor's Update	Lead Governor	Note	Verbal
8.0	Board Sub-Committees Updates To highlight the key activities undertaken by the respective Board Sub Committees since the last Council of Governors' meeting and provide assurance that the Non-executive Directors are sighted on any key risks and mitigating actions where appropriate.	Chairs of Board Sub-Committees (NEDs)	Note	Verbal
9.0	Membership Engagement Strategy Review	Lead Governor	Note	Verbal
10.0	Brief Update on Progress with Autism Assessments	Chief Operating Officer	Note	Verbal
11.0	Governors' Questions on behalf of Membership and the Public	Chair	Note	Attached / Verbal
12.0	CoG Annual Workplan 2025-26	Chair	Note	Attached
13.0	Any other relevant business	Chair	Note	Verbal
14.0	Evaluation of meeting	Chair	Note	Verbal
15.0	Date and Time of Next Meeting Tuesday, 17 June at 4pm (with specialist update briefing [Subject TBA] at 3.00pm)	Chair	Note	Verbal



Tab 2 Item 2.0 -

Declarations of Interest and Conflicts of Interest

Council of Governors - Register of Interests As at 27 February 2025 **Relevant Dates** Constituency **Council Member Declaration Details** To From Chair from 1 April 2022 April 2022 (current) 1. Director: flat management company of current Sarah Armstrong residence 2. Chief Executive: The Ewing Foundation, Ovingdean Hall Foundation and Burwood Park Foundation 3. Director: Coffee Porter (family business) 4. Member: West Yorkshire Chairs & Leaders Forum 5. Member: HNY Provider Chairs 6. Member: HNY CAP Board September 2024 7. Trustee: NHS Charities Together (current) Staff: Community July 2024 Jonathan Allen (current) Nil Services Ian Barlow Rest of Yorkshire September 2023 1. Trustee: Forces Online charity (current) December 2023 2. Member: South West Yorkshire Partnership NHS (current) Foundation Trust Nick Brown Stakeholder: North May 2023 1. North Yorkshire Councillor (current) Yorkshire Council 2. Chair: Cundall with Leckby Parish Council 3. Trustee: Harrogate & District Improvement Trust 4. Board Member: Northern Aldborough Festival 5. Trustee: Harrogate International Partnership 6. Member: Skipton & Ripon Conservative Association 7. Vice-Chair: Newby & Wathvale Conservative Branch Ripon & West District July 2023 1. Member: Barnsley Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Rachel Carter (current) 2. Member: Bradford District Care NHS Foundation Trust 3. Member: Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust 4. Member: Pennine Care NHS Foundation Trust Member: Airedale NHS Foundation Trust





Council of Governors – Register of Interests As at 27 February 2025

Council Member	Constituency	Relevant Dates		Declaration Details		
Council Member	Constituency	From	То	Deciaration Details		
				Member: Leeds & York Partnership NHS Foundation Trust		
Andrew Clark	Wetherby and Harewood Wards and Alwoodley Adel and Wharfedale & Otley & Yeadon Wards		Member – National Association of Care & Support Workers			
Mike Dunn	Wetherby and Harewood Wards and Alwoodley Adel and Wharfedale & Otley & Yeadon Wards	July 2022	(current)	Nil		
Mike Fisher	Harrogate & Surrounding Villages	January 2025	(current)	Nil		
Kathy Gargan	Harrogate & Surrounding Villages	July 2022	(current)	Director: North of England Horticulture Society Ltd		
David Haynes	Stakeholder Governor: Harrogate Healthcare Facilities Management Ltd (HIF)	November 2024	(current)	Employee of Harrogate Healthcare Facilities Management Ltd (t/a Healthcare Integrated Facilities – HIF)		
John Hindle	Ripon & West District	September 2024	(current)	Nil		
Mark Hutchinson	Staff: 0-19 Services	July 2024	(current)	 Secretary: North East Young Dads and Lads Representative: Royal College of Nursing 		
Emily Legge	Staff: Other Clinical	July 2024	(current)	Nil		



Council of Governors – Register of Interests As at 27 February 2025

Council Mambar	Constituency	Relevant Dates		Declaration Dataile		
Council Member	Constituency	From	То	Declaration Details		
Jackie Lincoln	Knaresborough & East District	July 2022	(current)	 Director: Jackie Lincoln Associates - Management Consultancy (07740067) Clerk to Parish (non executive): Walkingham with Occaney 		
Binish Mehar	Staff: Medical Professionals	October 2023	(current)	TBC		
Richard Owen-Hughes	Knaresborough & East District	January 2022	(current)	Marketing Director: Driver Hire Group Services Ltd		
Kevin Parry	Harrogate and Surrounding Villages	July 2023	(current)	Director: Cogenic Ltd		
Dawn Raspin	Harrogate & Surrounding Villages	January 2025	(current)	Nil		
Rick Sweeney	Harrogate & Surrounding Villages	July 2022	(current)	Trustee & Treasurer: White Rose Concert Band Member/volunteer ranger: Longlands Common		
Stephen Williams	Staff: Nursing, Midwifery & AHPs	October 2023	(current)	Nil		
Stuart Wilson	Staff: Non-Clinical	July 2022	(current)	Nil		

Tab 2 Item 2.0 - Declarations of Interest and Conflicts of Interest



Register of Interests - Previous Governors As at 27 February 2025 Constituency **Declaration Details Council Member Relevant Dates** То From Clare Illingworth Stakeholder: HIF January 2016 July 2024 1. Employee: Harrogate Integrated Facilities Steve Treece Wetherby, Harewood July 2024 1. Committee Member: Institute of Risk Management January 2017 Health Special Interest Group etc. **Donald Coverdale** Ripon & West District September 2021 August 2024 Nil Martin Dennys Harrogate & January 2019 December 1. Directorships: not with any services to the NHS 2. Employee: NHS England Surrounding Villages 2024 Tony Doveston Harrogate & January 2016 December Nil

2024

Surrounding Villages





COUNCIL OF GOVERNORS' MEETING (HELD IN PUBLIC) 4 December 2024 Boardroom, Trust Headquarters, Harrogate District Hospital, Lancaster Park Road, Harrogate, HG2 7SX

DRAFT Minutes

Present:			
Andy Papworth	Trust Vice-Chair		
Jackie Lincoln (JL)	Public Governor, Lead Governor		
Ian Barlow (IB)	Public Governor (on Teams)		
Councillor Nick Brown (CB)	Stakeholder Governor (from item 6)		
Rachel Carter (RC)	Public Governor		
Tony Doveston (TD)	Public Governor		
Mike Dunn (MDu)	Public Governor, Deputy Lead Governor (on Teams)		
Kathy Gargan (KG)	Public Governor		
John Hindle (JH)	Public Governor		
David Haynes (DH)	Stakeholder Governor		
Emily Legge (EL)	Staff Governor		
Richard Owen-Hughes (ROH)	Public Governor		
Kevin Parry (KP)	Public Governor		
Stephen Williams (SWm)	Staff Governor (on Teams)		
Stuart Wilson (SW)	Staff Governor		
In Attendance:			
Jeremy Cross (JC)	Non-Executive Director		
Laura Robson (LR)	Non-Executive Director, Senior Independent Director		
	(on Teams)		
Wallace Sampson, OBE (WS)	Non-Executive Director		
Jonathan Coulter	Chief Executive		
Emma Nunez	Executive Director of Nursing, Midwifery & AHPs,		
	Deputy Chief Executive		
Russell Nightingale	Chief Operating Officer		
Angela Wilkinson	Director of People & Culture		
Sue Grahamslaw	Assistant Company Secretary		
Giles Latham	Communications Manager (via Teams from item 6)		
Jimmy Parvin	Deputy Director of Strategy & Improvement		
Apologies:			
Martin Dennys (MDe)	Public Governor		
Mark Hutchinson	Staff Governor		
Binish Mehar (BM)	Staff Governor		
Richard Sweeney (RSw)	Public Governor		
Jonathan Allen (JA)	Staff Governor		
Sarah Armstrong	Chair		
Chiara De Biase (CdB)	Non-Executive Director		
Julia Weldon (JW)	Non-Executive Director		
Azlina Bulmer (AB)	Associate Non-Executive Director		
Kama Melly, (KM)	Associate Non-Executive Director		
Jackie Andrews	Executive Medical Director		
Matt Graham	Director of Strategy		
Jordan McKie	Director of Finance		
Kate Southgate	Associate Director of Quality and Corporate Affairs,		
	and Company Secretary		





SPECIALIST UPDATE BRIEFING - HARROGATE INTEGRATED FACILITIES

Present from HIF:

- Angie Gillet (Managing Director)
- Andy Colwell (Deputy Director of Estates & Facilities)
- Tim Wilkinson (Head of Operational Estates Corporate & Sustainability)
- Adrian Kopycinski (Hotel & Site Services Manager)
- Matthew Johnson (Security & Car Park Manager)
- Elaine Stanton (Manager Sterile Services & Medical Equipment Library)
- Anna Pugh (Administrative Assistant)

The Managing Director introduced the team that would be presenting the update to the Council as follows:

Planning Update
 Managing Director

Catering Services
 Estates & Capital Services
 Deputy Director of Estates & Facilities
 Head of Operational Estates – Corporate &

Sustainability

Hotel Services
 Portering & Transport Services
 Hotel & Site Services Manager
 Security & Car Park Manager

Equipment Library

A summary was provided for each service and ended with interesting facts.

The Governors engaged throughout the update, asking a number of questions:

- It was noted that the information was geared around Harrogate District
 Hospital as Ripon Hospital was part of NHS Property Services and so
 serviced under a different contract not all of which was the responsibility of
 Harrogate Integrated Facilities.
- Confirmed that local catering companies were used where possible.
- The way hot food was prepared meant it could not be provided to other organisations after breakfast / lunch service. This was being considered as part of a review of food preparation.
- Equipment that could no longer be used by the Trust (eg IT equipment) was sent to other areas / countries suggested this could be made more widely known by Procurement (not managed by HIF).
- Governors questioned whether there should be more publicity about achieving the 5-star food rating. HIF representatives replied that this was the expected standard which should be met.
- Request by Governors to review Green Plan.

Action: Ensure the Green Plan is included as an update on Workplan.





Item No.	Item					
COG/12/4/1 1.1	Welcome and apologies for absence The Vice-Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting including those participating by Teams.					
1.2	Apologies for absence were received from those noted above.					
COG/12/4/2 2.1	Declarations of Interest and Conflicts of Interest No further declarations of interest or conflicts of interest were noted.					
COG/12/4/3	Minutes of the previous Council of Governors (Public) meeting held on 10 September 2024					
3.1	Resolved: Minutes of the previous Council of Governors (Public) meeting held on 10 September 2024 were approved as an accurate record of the meeting.					
COG/12/4/4 4.1	 Matters Arising and Action Log The following matters arising and actions were noted: COG/3/7/10.18: Overview of ICB at Informal Governor Briefing: Due to take place in January 2025. Action to remain open. COG/3/6/13.2: Structure of Council / hard-to-fill seats: Carried forward until there is room to discuss as part of a Remuneration, Nomination and Conduct Committee (RNCC) meeting. Action to remain open. COG/6/5/8.5: Domiciliary Care Update: Will be provided as part of an Informal Governor Briefing in 2025. Action to remain open. COG/9/10/8.4: Limited Scope Audit: Response provided and noted. Action closed. 					
4.2	Resolved: Actions were agreed as above.					
COG/12/4/5 5.1	 Chair's Update (presented by the Vice-Chair) The Vice-Chair noted: Thanks were expressed to HIF colleagues who had provided the interesting Specialist Briefing update prior to the meeting. This was Public Governor (TD) last Council meeting; he was formally thanked for completing three terms (nine years) as a governor and was wished the best for the future. Whilst Public Governor (MDe) was unable to attend, he was also formally thanked for his work as a governor and noted he had been a great support. David Haynes was welcomed to the Council and thanked for taking the role of stakeholder governor. The HDFT Impact presentation was eagerly awaited and thanks were expressed to the new Deputy Director of Strategy & Improvement who would be presenting the item later in the meeting. 					
5.2	Resolved: The Vice-Chair's report was noted.					
COG/12/4/6 6.1	Chief Executive's Update The Chief Executive provided an update highlighting the following points: The announcements by the Secretary of State and the NHS Chief Executive focused on the operating model of NHS, including how the ICB would work, with providers earning their autonomy					





	 The need for leadership from the Board, as highlighted in the Darzi report, would form part of the new NHS operating model, with the concept of league tables for provider organisations. NHS priorities were numerous (three areas of focus for the Secretary of State and five for the NHS Chief Executive) which would be clarified in the upcoming planning guidance. Areas included: operational benefits, digital, and improve our neighbourhood. However, it was noted that HDFT were already focused on these areas. Current pressures were explained as being Urgent & Emergency Care (UEC), although the Trust was managing the pressures better than in other parts of the country and in other parts of the system. There was also a focus on financial pressures within HDFT and finding further areas for savings. Financial challenges were currently around funding the pay award and high cost drugs. Productivity was high with HDFT being the best performing Trust when compared with pre-CoVid data, meaning more patients were being treated with the finances available. Two new risks were added to the Corporate Risk Register (CRR) Cardiology and Stroke Pathway. Existing key risks were autism (where the Trust was delivering what it was commissioned to provide but this only amounted to about 50% of demand) and Dental Services (where delivering the service with the available resources was the challenge). Some positive areas to note included: high position of the Emergency Department in a CQC Report (16th place out of 120 reviewed); high level Maternity Report; Cancer treatment delivery had improved; delivering in the 0-19 services; low agency spend with high productivity. The Electronic Patient Record (EPR) programme was being launched this week. There would always be challenges but there were also many areas to celebrate across the footprint and it was important to recognise those things that were being done well.
6.2	Public Governor (KP) sought clarification on the relative productivity of the Trust between current figures and those in 2019. The Chief Executive confirmed that the Trust had increased productivity by over 30% compared to 2019 which was a positive change.
6.3	The Vice-Chair noted that the Corporate Risk Register (CRR) and the Integrated Board Report (IBR) were included in the supplementary papers.
6.4	The Lead Governor commented that she considered the IBR papers in the revised format for public access were more difficult to read for those who did not have PowerBI access and requested the presentation of the data be made clearer. The Chief Executive noted that PowerBI gave clarity for those using it day-to-day to inform service delivery but the Lead Governor's comments would be noted for any future developments.
6.5	Resolved: The Chief Executive's update was noted.
COG/12/4/7 7.1	Appointment of Lead Governor The paper outlining the process for and outcome of the election of the Lead Governor was taken as read.





7.2	There were no questions or comments from the Governors.						
7.3	Resolved: The appointment of Jackie Lincoln as the Lead Governor was approved.						
COG/12/4/8	Lead Governor's Update						
8.1	 The Lead Governor noted the following in her update: Expressed thanks to the Council for being voted Lead Governor and emphasised her commitment to continue the work with the Deputy Lead Governor and aim for collaborative working with all governors The new Stakeholder Governor was welcomed Retiring governors were thanked for their service, knowledge and insight, and noted they would be missed Governor activities since the last Council meeting in September were mentioned: Annual Members' Meeting (AMM) (17 September 2024) Observing Board Sub Committees and Trust Board (25 September 2024 and 27 November 2024) Staff Governor meeting with Chair and Chief Executive (16 October 2024) Informal Governor Briefing (24 October 2024) where the Chief Executive updated the Governors with the latest developments with the Secretary of State's announcements, operational update for winter planning and Patient Knows Best app. Governor Development Session (4 November 2024) – a detailed discussion would take place in the private session later in the day. Governor Development and Membership Engagement Committee (6 November 2024) – again noted there would be a detailed discussion in the private session later in the day. Governor Coordination meetings (8 October 2024; 14 and 25 November 2024) – where business included feedback from the AMM, planning for forthcoming Council of Governor meetings; forum continued to work well for communicating and producing ideas, and provided a place for Sub 						
8.2	The Vice-Chair thanked the Lead and Deputy Lead Governors for their work.						
8.3	There were no further questions or comments from the Governors.						
8.4	Resolved: The Lead Governor's Update was noted.						
COG/12/4/9	Public Governor Elections Update						
9.1	 The Council took the paper as read. The Assistant Company Secretary highlighted the following points: Service provider (Civica) and timetable were agreed at the August 2024 RNCC. There were five vacancies over four constituencies for governor terms to commence on 1 January 2025. Civica were providing the election services. There had been a slight error in the nomination phase with some people not being mailed the nomination information but this was satisfactorily resolved. 						





9.2	 Three constituencies received nominations – one in Wetherby, Harewood; two in Knaresborough & East District; eight in Harrogate & Surrounding Villages; no nominations for the Rest of England seat. The uncontested nominee has been informed and DBS check and paperwork collation were underway. Voting had commenced in other constituencies. There were no comments or questions from the Governors. 				
9.3	Resolved: The update on the public governor elections was noted.				
COG/12/4/10	HDFT Impact				
10.1	 The Deputy Director of Strategy & Improvement gave a presentation on the work of HDFT Impact, where the following points were noted: HDFT Impact was a continuous improvement methodology that focussed on greater impact being achieved by concentrating on fewer priorities. This needed new habits but noted change could be challenging at the outset. The True North metric was explained and how the connection throughout the organisation was managed through strategy deployment. Good progress had been seen so far, especially around reducing moderate harm and managing staff availability. Moving patients from Emergency Department to Same Day Emergency Care resulted in better patient care and redirection of the patient effectively to help meet the 4-hour Emergency Department standard. Frontline improvements had been made in the Emergency Department by thinking of the root cause analysis and ensuring outcomes were data-driven. The need to identify how to move patients from the Emergency Department was clear which involved ensuring frontline clinical and portering staff working together to understand each other's needs. The Council were informed that a video had been produced by Woodlands Ward which demonstrated how the team had made HDFT Impact work for them and that engagement was easier when staff could see the process was working. 				
10.2	Action: Link to Woodlands Video to be shared amongst Governors.				
10.3	The Vice-Chair sought clarification on the HDFT Impact timeline. It was explained that the programme was on track to have 70% of staff trained by July 2026. It was currently a 14-week training programme but as more teams became used to it, that may be able to be shortened. However, the importance of keeping robust processes was emphasised.				
10.4	Public Governor (RC) sought an understanding of how Non-executive Directors (NEDs) were assured that the True North metric was what mattered most to patients, questioning what engagement there had been with patients and the public. The Chief Executive explained that delivery of the Trust's strategy was key and the data was reviewed to consider what was required to deliver the strategy. The data would be reviewed annually alongside the metrics as part of the planning process. Furthermore, the Trust would be seeking to ensure there were projects to improve feedback from patients.				





10.5	Non-executive Director (JC) confirmed that the NEDs had had the data fully explained to them and the importance of trusting the data. He highlighted that an example had been the positive outcome with the People's Plan focused on filling vacancies and which had now moved to tackling staff sickness rates.
10.6	Public Governor (RC) further questioned patient engagement in the process. The Chief Operating Officer explained that the metrics focussed on the NHS required standards. The challenge was selecting the metric that would have the greatest impact. The Deputy Director of Strategy & Improvement added that the review was on the available quantitative data meaning that the improvement work was based on informed, data-driven decisions.
10.7	The Executive Director of Nursing, Midwifery & AHPs commented that the continuous improvement process had moved at pace but that transparency was key. The huddle (part of the process) took place in the middle of the ward so others could hear. When considering the processes longer term, the corporate project on Patient Experience could also provide input but the methodology for incorporating patient feedback would need careful consideration.
10.8	Public Governor (RC) noted that the more the process was discussed, the more familiar it became. She had seen some of the HDFT Impact walls and was positive about the process.
10.9	Public Governor (KP) welcomed the clear presentation. However, he questioned if constant continuous improvement could lead to instability and how would the potential impact on other teams be managed. The Deputy Director of Strategy & Improvement advised that there was only one driver metric in front-line teams and that the key was to ensure a focus was maintained with progress aligning within teams. Collaboration was important.
10.10	Public Governor (ROH) observed that, from the Woodlands Ward video, some of the improvement work was on the hospital walls for the public and patients to see as well. He further welcomed how the recruitment process had worked at HDFT compared to Leeds Teaching Hospitals Trust approach where recruitment had been put on hold. The Director of People and Culture noted that Humber & North Yorkshire (HNY) and West Yorkshire Associate of Acute Trusts (WYAAT) were outliers in their stance on vacancies and it was performing well with agency spend reduced. However, it was noted that recruitment policy had been robust to ensure only vacancies which were funded were recruited.
10.11	Resolved: The update on HDFT Impact was noted.
COG/12/4/11	Urgent Constituents' Questions
11.1	The Vice-Chair introduced the questions and sought appropriate responses from the Board as follows:
11.2	Q1: Engagement Strategy (All Governors) A previous Patient and Public Participation Strategy was developed to run from 2018 to 2021. Governors note the variety and value of current patient and public engagement activities and processes managed by the Trust and reported via the Annual Report and Board/Sub Committees and recent presentations. These





include for example, the work of the Patient Experience Team; feedback from Friends and Family Testing together with initiatives such as the Reader Group, Happi Friends and work on Children and Young Peoples Engagement. A Trust Membership Engagement Strategy was also endorsed by the Trust Board in March 2024

- Are there plans to develop an updated overarching Trust wide engagement strategy which will encompass and co-ordinate all complementary strategies and the current and proposed engagement activities? If so, what are the proposed timescales and planned processes and monitoring arrangements to achieve this?
- What are NEDS' perspectives about whether there is diverse representation feeding into the key decisions and priorities identified by the Trust
- In what ways can Governors contribute to the successful performance of the Trust in the effective engagement with Trust members, stakeholders and the wider public in order to identify their priorities and improvement expectations?
- The Executive Director of Nursing, Midwifery & AHPs reported that there was still work to be done around proactive public engagement. She noted there was a corporate project on Patient Experience and outlined some of the recent efforts to obtain feedback, such as the stand in the main hospital reception. The existing public engagement strategy was being reviewed but it was not sufficiently at a point when it could be shared. There was also the potential for patient experience to be confused with public views and they should be kept separate.
- Non-executive Director (LR) advised that the Quality Committee had been informed about innovative HDFT Impact outcomes which was working well within the Children and Young People teams using "ambassadors" in schools.
- The Lead Governor highlighted that the Governors' question acknowledged the existing good practice but was aimed at gaining an understanding of how the various engagement activities were being co-ordinated and in particular, how the Governors could actively support this. The Governors wanted to keep engagement on the agenda at meetings. The Chief Executive advised that the work that was being done would be shared and discussed with Governors.
- The Executive Director of Nursing, Midwifery & AHPs added that the Trust's strategy would also need to take account of the implications of the NHS 10-year plan and the outcome of current consultations would be incorporated in that.
- **11.7 Action:** produce a timeline for the Patient Experience Corporate Project.
- **Q2: Get It Right First Time (GIRFT) initiative** (Public Governor, MD)

 GIRFT is the NHS' initiative that drives best clinical practice and better health outcomes in over 50 specialties. NHS England is driving the creation of GIRFT Hubs across the country which are demonstrating significant productivity and care outcome improvements targeted at the specialities with the lowest performance in each Hub area.





What activity and assurance are the NEDs driving in the committees to accelerate the creation of a GIRFT Hub in HDFT, and what assurance can they provide that GIRFT learning is being sought and applied wherever HDFT is not already in the top quartile for each of the 50+ specialities covered by GIRFT that are applicable to HDFT?

- The Chief Operating Officer explained that the elective hubs being created were Targeted Investment Plan (TIF) 1 (connection to Wharfedale Hospital) and TIF2 (Block C Redevelopment). There were other schemes that would deliver in 2025 and 2026 which would provide service delivery to 75,000 more patients.
- Public Governor (KP) questioned how GIRFT national practice was being applied locally. It was explained that there were two key metrics in GIRFT using theatres and day patients / day case theatres. In addition, the community dental service allocated extra time around operations to allow for those with learning difficulties. The main focus on GIRFT for HDFT was around theatre utilisation. It was noted that meetings were being scheduled to help HDFT understand how to increase list capacity at the Trust, such as for cataracts where others were performing 12 cataract operations per list, whereas HDFT achieved 7-8.
- 11.11
 Q3: Hospital Signage (Public Governor, KP)
 Hospital signage has been the subject of a number of questions at Council of
 Governors; the most recent response indicated that this matter was under
 further review. Why does the Trust persist in using alpha numeric codes for
 locations in the hospital signage? For example, when visitors are looking for
 Oakdale Ward, why not indicate on the entrance and corridor signs that this is
 the direction for the Oakdale ward? Feedback is that it is confusing and wastes
- The Chief Executive noted the history of the issues with hospital signage and that the feedback in 2020 was that the system had been difficult for patients and visitors. The Council were advised that the alpha-numeric system was considered the easiest for those whose first language was not English, especially when services were moved around the hospital to allow for refurbishments. It was understood that there was a lack of consistency with the large number of different patient letters issued.

time as people try to navigate the corridors by code rather than plain English.

- It was reported that the feedback was that the system was not ideal for everyone. However, work was underway to redesign the front entrance of the hospital to make it easier for people to get to their desired location. The same system had been used successfully in a nearby trust.
- Public Governor (KP) asked why the names of wards or words could not be used. The Chief Executive advised that, before the new signage, there were numerous hanging signs. However, now it was felt that people could follow the colour of signs and the alpha-numeric system. Stakeholder Governor (NB) concurred.





11.15	The Executive Director of Nursing, Midwifery & AHPs stated that in recognition of the diversity of staff, patients and the public, the approach used should embrace "simplified language" rather than "plain English".
11.16	Governor (NB) advised that Healthwatch North Yorkshire had provided an exemplar of how signage should be used, including having a video of how to follow the way-finding.
11.17	Staff Governor (SW) reported that staff were always willing to help but were repeatedly stopped in the corridors asking for directions which hindered their work.
11.18	Q4: Changing Places Campaign (Public Governor, RC) Changing Places Campaign is aimed at toilets installed in all public venues, so that everyone, regardless of their access needs or disability or reliance on the assistance of carers or specialist equipment, can use a toilet facility with dignity and hygienically. How does the Trust currently or plan to support this campaign? How are Trust facilities managed and monitored to ensure compliance with adequate standards?
11.19	The Executive Director of Nursing, Midwifery & AHPs noted that there was a changing places facility incorporated into the Endoscopy Department during its construction in 2019, but that the opening hours were restricted. As part of the redevelopment of the front entrance, the intention was to improve accessible facilities at that point. The challenge was funding and space constraints.
11.20	Q5: Rolling Contracts for Staff (Staff Governor, SW) There has been feedback from several areas suggesting that multiple non-clinical retire/return staff who are on rolling contracts, are increasingly being told their contracts are not being renewed. In some cases, the same jobs are then being advertised at a lower banding, with the staff member being encouraged to apply for their own role, but on a lower band. Can the Trust explain if this is purely coincidence, or if a deliberate move by the Trust to save funds?
11.21	The Director of People & Culture responded that there were a number of flexible retirement options available to staff. Should they wish to come back to work after retirement, then their managers had the discretion to discuss any different working patterns or roles. It was the manager's responsibility to agree what would fit with the plans for the teams. However, it was advised that the Trust did not have rolling contracts – returning staff usually did so on fixed term contracts of 6months which allowed all involved to review what worked individually and for the team. It was also confirmed that this was not intended as a means of saving expenditure.
11.22	Staff Governor (SW) advised that he had gained helpful clarification on an individual case prior to the Council meeting.
11.23	Q6: Physician Associates (PAs) and Anaesthesia Associates (AAs) (Public Governor, RC)





	In the light of recent media coverage, how many PAs and AAs are employed by HDFT; is this number is planned to increase, and how the NEDs are assuring themselves PAs and AAs have clear role descriptions that they are operating to, and about the management of any tensions between different staff groups?				
11.24	The Chief Executive noted that the Trust currently had a total of four of these PAs/AAs and were not planning to increase the number. Their roles were clear within the HDFT framework. It was explained that from December, the roles would be regulated and those staff would have to become members of the General Medical Council (GMC).				
11.25	There were no known issues, tensions or incidents with PAs or AAs at HDFT. It was noted there was an independent review underway about registration.				
11.26	Resolved: The responses to the questions were noted.				
COG/12/4/12	CoG Annual Workplan 2025-26				
12.1	The Council requested that updates on Domiciliary Care and the Green Plan were included on the Workplan. A specialist briefing on Quality Impact Assessments was also requested to be scheduled in for 2025				
12.2	Action: Items to be added to workplan and briefing schedule.				
12.3	Resolved: The Council of Governors' Meetings annual workplan was noted.				
COG/12/4/13	Any Other Relevant Business				
13.1	The Vice-Chair noted that no indication of any other business had been received prior to the meeting.				
13.2	The Lead Governor recalled that the Council had previously received a Board Sub-Committee update from a Committee Chair. However, the Vice-Chair reminded the Council that they had had the opportunity of a more in-depth presentation about HDFT Impact which had been requested previously by Governors.				
13.3	There being no further business, the meeting closed at 5:50pm				
COG/12/4/14	Evaluation of the Meeting				
14.1	The Vice-Chair asked for any comments on the meeting evaluation to be forward to him.				
COG/12/4/15	Date and Time of Next Meeting				
15.1	The date of the next meeting on 5 March 2025 was confirmed with the specialist update on Health Inequalities Data on the IBR prior to the meeting. The venue was noted as the Boardroom at Trust HQ, Harrogate District Hospital.				

Minute Number	Date of Meeting	Subject	Action Description	Responsible Officer	Due Date	Comments	Status - completed is defined as confirmation received from ED responsible lead that the proposed action is completed as described in the comments column. Completed actions will not be closed until the Board has confirmed that action taken is satisfactory.
COG/3/7/10.18	07 March 2023	ICB	An overview of the ICB systems that the Trust was involved with would be included at a future Informal Governors meeting.	Chief Executive	January 2025	Sue Symington (Chair, HNY ICB) to provide overview at January 2025 Informal Governor Briefing. Action to remain ongoing until meeting has taken place. Overview presented at January IGB.	Closed
COG/3/6/13.2	06 March 2024	Structure of Council of Governors	Consider if governor seats represent the Trusts' footprint and needs - with merge of Local Authority Seats to one council	Assistant Company Secretary		Update: an initial review had started at RNCC with a look at seats that were hard to fill. Added to forward plan for RNCC meeting agenda.	Ongoing
COG/6/5/8.5	05 June 2024	Focussed Update: Domicilliary Care	Detailed discussion on Domiciliary Care and patients' perceptions of the impact on their care with the Waste Reduction programme in place.	Assistant Company Secretary	TBC	Discussion to form part of an Informal Governor Briefing in 2025 - items added to schedule for Informal Governor Briefings Update Sept 2024: Noted there had been a briefing on TeamTalk and the work of Thrive.	Propose to Close
COG/12/4/10.2	04 December 2024	HDFT Impact	Link to Woodlands video to be shared with Governnors	Assistant Company Secretary	January 2025	Link circulated 02/01/25	Closed
COG/12/4/11.7	04 December 2024	Urgent Constituents' Questions: Engagement Strategy	Provide a timeline for the Patient Experience Corporate Project.	Associate Director of Quality & Corporate Affairs	June 2025	Amended due date. February 2025 Update: Governors request to be engaged from the outset rather than being presented with a draft strategy for review.	Ongoing
COG/12/4/12.2	04 December 2024	CoG Annual Workplan 2025- 26	Updates on Domicilliary Care and the Green Plan to be included on Workplan. Specialist Briefing on Quality Impact Assessments to be added to briefing schedule	Assistant Company Secretary	January 2025	Items added to 2025/26 workplan and 2025 Briefing Schedule.	Propose to Close

Council of Governors - Public Meeting - 5 March 2025-05/03/25

Governor Questions on Behalf of Membership and the Public: Council of Governors' Meeting – 5th March 2025

	Subject	Context	Questions
1.	Reducing Health Inequalities	A specialist briefing on Health Inequalities, to be led by Jack Lewis from HNY ICB and Matt Graham has been scheduled to be delivered immediately prior to this meeting. The minutes from the last Council of Governors meeting said that this would cover "Health Inequalities Data on the IBR". Whilst this is appreciated and will be very helpful, there are broader issues that the governors also wish to receive assurance about. It is understood that reducing health inequalities is a system wide responsibility and a key role for Integrated Care Boards and Systems. However in March 2024 NHS Providers issued "Reducing Health Inequalities: A guide for NHS trust board members". This document was designed specifically for NHS trusts and recognised that the role of NHS trusts in taking concerted action to reduce inequalities had not (previously) clearly been articulated.	Could NEDs please provide assurance about the action HDFT is taking to reduce health inequalities within the population it serves. This question is specifically about broader health inequalities (e.g. socio-economic factors including deprivation and carers, geography including rurality, and social exclusion including people experiencing homelessness) rather than those relating to the nine protected characteristics set out in the Equality Act of 2010 (acknowledging the overlap). We recognise this is a broad area and would welcome further updates in the future. For this Council meeting could NEDs please help us understand: i. Whether the Trust has a specific strategy or plan on health inequalities, and what the governance structure is for overseeing the Trust's work and strategy on health inequalities? ii. How potential impact on health inequalities is assessed and optimised within the HDFT Impact programme? iii. What data-evidenced examples we have of how we have reduced health inequalities in access or services?"
2.	Mental Health Support	The Trust Strategy includes a statement that under the "Great Start in Life" strategy, HDFT wants to be "The National Leader for Children and Young People's Care". However, the Lord Darzi Report highlighted that as of June 2024 more than 1 million people were waiting for community care, 80% of whom are children and young people. The HealthWatch Report for North Yorkshire (January 2025) highlighted that all of the feedback on mental health services in North Yorkshire was negative, quoting "One person mentioned that the waiting list to get referred to mental health support in North Yorkshire takes years and when they did eventually get the support, it was ill-informed and not helpful.". This is not clearly not just about Autism assessments, but the wider aspects of mental health in young people in our region and also about the challenges of resource constraints and the apparent lack of coherence in the delivery system.	What steps are being taken by the Trust to influence the development of a more effective strategy to be put in place in the HDFT footprint which will improve mental health service delivery to children and young people?

3.	Meeting the Needs of the Elderly	There is an increasing size of the elderly population in HDFT footprint and incidence of frailty and dementia conditions	 i. How are NEDs assuring themselves that the health and wellbeing needs of the older population in the HDFT community are being met? ii. How are NEDs assured that the commitment to treating elderly patients with kindness, compassion and dignity is implemented in practice in all areas of the Trust?
4.	Volunteer Transport	Improving accessibility to services for patients	 i. A Volunteer driver scheme existed approximately six years ago; does this still exist or has it been revised? ii. What is the progress with the trial project for Nidderdale Plus drivers to check with the discharge room for patients they could transport whilst waiting for their allocated patient pickup?
5.	Scrutiny of Requests for Expenditure	Controls on Trust expenditure	Staff members have requested assurance that the level of scrutiny on requests to incur expenditure by staff apply to all parts of the Trust, including for example, expenditure on expenses for Board meetings and hospitality.
6.	Policy for Umbilical Cord Blood Collection for Stem Cells	Increasing national commentary on the collection of umbilical cord blood.	Does HDFT have a stated policy for Cord Blood Collection for stem cells?
7.	Contingency Planning for Bad Weather	Bad weather presented some key challenges early in January this year including the ability of some staff being able to travel to their workplace.	 i. What was the impact on patients on hospital sites but also those patients in the community reliant on, for example, nursing interventions in the home? ii. Following a proposed debrief, has there been any areas of improvement identified in future emergency planning policies and procedures?





Council of Governors Workplan – 2	2025-26 – v	2				
Dates of Meetings (TO BE CONFIRMED)	Private / Public	Wednesday 5 March	Tuesday 3 June (TBC)	Wednesday 10 September	Wednesday 10 December	Wednesday 4 March
Final Papers required by:		26/02/25	27/05/25	03/09/25	03/12/25	25/02/26
Opening Items						
Welcome and apologies	Both	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Declaration of interests and Conflicts of Interest	Both	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Minutes of previous meeting		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Matters Arising and Action Log		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Routine Items						
Chair's Report	Public	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Chief Executive Report (including finance, performance and quality/patient safety)	Public	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Lead Governor Update	Public	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Non-executive Director (Committee Chair) Update (rotate)	Public	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
For info: Integrated Board Report (IBR) – circulate with public papers	Public	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Feedback from Governor Committee/Group Reports: (Remuneration, Nomination and Conduct Committee, Governor Development & Membership Engagement, External Auditor Working Group)	Private	*	*	*	*	*
Governor Events, Feedback	Public	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Urgent Constituents' questions	Public	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Membership Engagement Strategy review	Public	✓				✓
Calendar of Governor Activities	Public	✓				✓
Annual Declarations of Interest and agreement with Code of Conduct	Public			✓		
Appointment of Lead Governor	Public	*	*	*	*	*
Annual Review of Committee/Group Membership	Public				✓	
Elections Update Report	Public		✓		✓	
Election Results	Public	✓		✓		✓
Annual Review of Terms of Reference – sub committees (Remuneration, Nomination and Conduct Committee; and Governor Development & Membership Engagement Committee)	Public				✓	
Constitution Annual Review	Public		✓			
Annual Review of the Effectiveness of the Council of Governors	Public			✓		
Trust Annual Planning	Public	*	*	*	*	*
Proposal for Annual Members' Meeting	Public		✓			
Annual Governor Feedback Report (AMM)	Public			✓		
External Auditor Report to Governors	Private			✓		
Annual Report and Accounts	Private			✓		
Annual Quality Report	Private			✓		
Performance Evaluation of the Chair and Non-executive Directors (recommendation from the Remuneration Committee)	Private		?	✓		
Updates requested by Governors						
Bi-annual Update on Harrogate Healthcare Facilities Management Limited (t/a Harrogate Integrated Facilities (HIF))	Public		✓		✓	
Update on the Green Plan (see December 2024 minutes) – include as part of HIF update	Public		✓		✓	





Atour best 7					-	is roundation in
Patient Experience Team – thematic report	Public			✓		
Update on Domiciliary Care (see December 2024 minutes)	Public		✓		✓	
Update on Autism (see September 2024 minutes)	Public	✓		✓		√
Statutory Items (as required, undefined timings)						
Appointment of Chair of the Trust – to ratify (recommendation from RNCC)	Private	*	*	*	*	*
Appointment of Deputy Chair of the Trust (recommendation from RNCC)	Private	*	*	*	*	*
Appointment of Senior Independent Director (recommendation from RNCC)	Private	*	*	*	*	*
Appointment of Non-executive Director – to ratify (recommendation from RNCC)	Private	*	*	*	*	*
Remuneration of the Chair of the Trust & Non-executive Directors to ratify (recommendation from RNCC)	Private	*	*	*	*	*
Approve the appointment of the Chief Executive (recommendation from RNCC)	Private	*	*	*	*	*
Approve any significant transactions, mergers, acquisitions, separation or dissolution	Public	*	*	*	*	*
Appointment of External Auditor – to ratify (recommendation from Audit Committee and tender exercise)	Public	*	*	*	*	*
Amendments to constitution – to ratify	Public	*	*	*	*	*
Closing Items						
Workplan Review	Public	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Any Other Business	Both	*	*	*	*	*
Evaluation of Meeting	Both	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

^{*}As and when required

Items to be Added:

CQC SAFE DOMAIN

Safety is a priority for everyone. People should always be safe and protected from bullying, harassment, avoidable harm, neglect, abuse and discrimination. Their liberty is protected where this is in their best interests and in line with legislation.

- Learning culture We have a proactive and positive culture of safety based on openness and honesty, in which concerns about safety are listened to, safety events are investigated and reported thoroughly, and lessons are learned to continually identify and embed
- Safe systems, pathways and transitions We work with people and our partners to establish and maintain safe systems of care, in which safety is managed, monitored and assured. We ensure continuity of care, including when people move between different services.
- Safeguarding We work with people to understand what being safe means to them as well as with our partners on the best way to achieve this. We concentrate on improving people's lives while protecting their right to live in safety, free from bullying, harassment, abuse, discrimination, avoidable harm and neglect. We make sure we share concerns quickly and appropriately.

16.1 BLUE BOX - Item 6.1 - Corporate Risk Register

- Involving people to manage risks We work with people to understand and manage risks by thinking holistically so that care meets their needs in a way that is safe and supportive and enables them to do the things that matter to them.
- Safe environments We detect and control potential risks in the care environment. We make sure that the equipment, facilities and technology support the delivery of safe care.
- Safe and effective staffing We make sure there are enough qualified, skilled and experienced people, who receive effective support, supervision and development and work together effectively to provide safe care that meets people's individual needs.
- Infection prevention and control We assess and manage the risk of infection. We detect and control the risk of it spreading and share any concerns with appropriate agencies promptly.
- Medicines optimisation We make sure that medicines and treatments are safe and meet people's needs, capacities and preferences by enabling them to be involved in planning, including when changes happe

Medicines optimisa	ation - We make	e sure that me	dicines and treatments are safe and meet people's needs, capacities and preferences by enabling them t	o be involved in planning, including whe	en changes ha	appen.							
Lead Committee	Quality Co	ommittee	Summary:										
Executive Committee	Quality Mana Group (QGM0		Aligned with the CQC SAFE Domain, the organization is addressing key safety risks to protect staff, patients, and visitors while promoting a culture of continuous improvement. • HDH Goods Yard Security (CHS2): Temporary security measures are in place to prevent unauthorized access, with permanent improvements targeted by March 2025. • Fire Safety (CHS3): Fire risk assessments are complete, and infrastructure upgrades are underway to reduce the risk rating by September 2024.										
Initial Date of Assessment	1 st July 2022		 Violence and Aggression (CHS5): Policy updates, enhanced training, and security reviews are to outdated procedures. 	peing implemented to safeguard staff an	id improve sa	ifety, includ	ing addressing	limited securi	ty presence	and			
Last Reviewed January 2025			Health & Safety – Building Security (CRR102): Outdated security policies, limited security pres infrastructure improvements, and enhanced staff training. Plans include replacing door access Containment Level 3 Microbiology Work (CRR98): The unavailability of the onsite CL3 lab has by March 2025 are underway, alongside efforts to improve sample logistics and mitigate delay These actions reflect the organization's proactive approach to ensuring safe systems, environments, and	systems, expanding CCTV coverage, and led to outsourcing, posing risks to patier s.	d preparing fo nt safety and	or complian	ce with Martyn	's Law by Apr	il 2025.				
•	rategic mbition	Туре	Principle Risk: CHS2: HDH Goods yard		Appetite	Initial Rating	December Rating	January Rating	Target Rating	Target Date			
CRR75: CHS2 Health and that promotes wellbeing			Unauthorized access and safety hazards in the HDH Goods Yard may result in major injuries, for due to inadequate security measures, non-compliance with safety regulations, and improper objective of maintaining a safe and secure environment for employees, patients, and others we have the complex of the com	use of the area, posing a risk to the	Minimal	16	12	12	8	March 25			
Key Target			Current Position Plans to Improve Control and Risks to Delivery										
Board level lead for Health and Safety Annual Audit programme for Health and Safety Health & Safety Committee Suitable and sufficient risk assessments in place Implementation of control measures from assessments Capital programme to implement permanent physical changes to the area Control of unauthorised access			The organisation has taken several steps to address health and safety risks within the goods yard. Risk assessments have been completed, identifying key areas of concern. In response, temporary measures have been implemented to mitigate these risks: • Access Control: A temporary Heras fenced walkway has been established to safely guide staff and visitors to the Pharmacy lift and stainwell. • Staff Communication: Instructions have been communicated to all Trust staff via email and Team Talk regarding the safety protocols. • High-Visibility Clothing: High-visibility clothing is required for personnel who need routine access to the yard. • Contractor Guidelines: Contractors have been instructed that the yard area is strictly for delivery drop-offs and collections, and not for parking. • Security Weakness: The loading bay entrance remains unsecure 24/7 due to doors that do not close properly, posing a significant security risk, particularly during the night when staff presence is limited, leaving the area open to unauthorized access. • Safety Improvements: New pedestrian crossing markings were added at the entrance to the goods yard and car park in July 2023.	The organization has outlined sever the goods yard: Physical Barriers and Controls: for into the overall improvement costs Waste Management: A newly form separation and new waste streams Contractor Management: A new Constructions now issued to all delivitutre management and operation Security Review: There will be a reensure it meets the evolving needs Construction Planning: A programmensure that the goods yard remain Timeline: The target date for comporganization's 24/25 backlog programs	the the prossible the good ned group is son site, with contractor Notery drivers and the good ned good	otection of ods yard. Is tasked with a report Managemen and extern current se Is being de al during u	the liquid oxy, th assessing the due to the He at Policy is awal users of the curity guard p veloped in col pcoming cons	gen store, we me impact of the latth & Safet aiting approvent goods yard. The laboration witruction acti	hich will be changes to y Committe val, with wr. This policy he goods yavith a contravities.	e factored o waste ee in June. ritten y will guide ard to			
			Despite these measures, the ongoing issue of the unsecured loading bay entrance remains a critical security concern that requires further attention.	· ·	hese actions are designed to enhance the safety, security, and operational efficiency of the goods yard hile maintaining confidentiality of specific details.								

Corporate Risk ID	Strategic Ambition		Principle Risk: CHS3: Managing the risk of injury from fire	Appetite	Initial Rating	December Rating	January Rating	Target Rating	Target Date
CRR75: CHS3 Health and Safety	An Environment that promotes wellbeing		Organisational risk to compliance with legislative requirements, with the risk of major injuries, fatality, or permanent disability to employees, patients and others, and the unauthorised access of persons to restricted areas of the hospital through the loading bay entrance.	Minimal	20	15	15	10	ТВС
Key Risk Indicators	(Current Position	n Plans to Improve Control and Risks t	o Delivery					

Updated Fire Safety Policy and associated management protocols

Completion of fire assessments

Appointment of competent Fire Manager and Authorising Engineer

Completion of assessments

Implementation of fire procedures and policies

Communication of fire procedures to all employee

Audits and reviews of the above conditions at appropriate intervals.

The Trust has made substantial progress in addressing fire safety concerns, with several key actions and improvements:

Fire Risk Assessments: Fire risk assessments, which were initially incomplete, have now been completed for all areas of the HDH site. The process is being carried out by Oakleaf and is monitored by the Fire Safety Group with reports to the Health & Safety Committee. However, Oakleaf has been unable to meet the required level of availability, leading to a backlog in reviewing risk assessments, particularly in areas that have recently changed usage due to Block C moves. Addressing this backlog will be a priority for the new Fire Manager.

Communication Improvements: Communication of fire safety information, which was previously inconsistent, is now regularly disseminated through weekly bulletins by the Fire Manager.

Fire Wardens: The use of Fire Wardens remains inconsistent, highlighting an area requiring further attention. Fire Manager Recruitment: The position of Fire Manager has been advertised, attracting some interest. The recruitment process is complete, with pre-employment checks currently underway.

Contractor Assessments: The assessment of contractors and construction work is to be integrated more consistently into Trust fire assessments and evacuation procedures. Construction Phase Plans for all CDM work are under review to include fire risk assessments and shared control measures.

Corridor and Exit Safety: There has been a significant improvement in keeping corridors, escape routes, and exits clear, with the HIF waste team prioritizing daily clearing. However, issues with fire doors being wedged open on wards still persist.

Fire Policy and Management: A new Fire Policy and Fire Management Procedures have been established. A Service Level Agreement (SLA) with Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust (LTHT) has been fully implemented, with regular site attendance to review fire risk assessments, fire strategy in relation to construction work, and provide training.

Ongoing Assessments and Reporting: The Health & Safety Team continues to report on fire safety assurances for the community estate in fortnightly CC Estates meetings. Additional information is being gathered from all community sites to assess resource needs, including risk assessments and training.

Fire Safety Testing: Significant Cause and Effect testing, especially in the main theatres, has been completed. confirmed, and the work is being scheduled.

Evacuation Procedures: Ward changes and the development of updated evacuation procedures are ongoing, with the Fire Safety Manager collaborating with relevant teams. A recent lift failure in the Strayside wing has highlighted limitations in the current evacuation procedures and controls.

SLA Conclusion: The SLA with LTHT has officially ended, although support for some pre-arranged work, including SMT training, the TIF2 project, and online training is on-going.

Fire Safety Group Establishment: The Fire Safety Group has been fully established, with its first meeting held on August 31, 2023. Monthly meetings are now in place, with an action being reviewed by the Fire Safety Group and escalated through the Health & Safety Committee as needed.

Plans to Improve Control and Risks to Delivery

Ongoing Fire Safety Support: The Fire Safety team continues to receive ad hoc requests for support from both the HDH site and Community sites.

Infrastructure Risk Work: Efforts to separate infrastructure risk items, such as fire alarms, compartmentation, fire doors, and fire dampers, are ongoing and expected to be completed by April 2024. These risks will be added to the Health & Safety Risk Register and escalated where necessary, with updates reported via the Fire Safety Group, Health & Safety Committee, and Environment Board.

Fire Alarm System Costs: An analysis of the costs for a new fire alarm system is being conducted, comparing the total upfront cost of switching providers versus upgrading the existing system over

Basement Corridor Improvements: Priority work is being planned to improve the compartmentation and fire stopping in the basement corridor between plant rooms as part of the 2024/25 backlog maintenance budget. New drawings have been produced, and cost estimates are being sought.

Evacuation Risk Management: Remedial actions are being taken to minimize risks associated with the closure of corridors for six weeks. Evacuation aids have been repositioned, and additional training is being provided to both clinical and non-clinical staff, with multiple sessions organized by the Fire

Monthly Fire Checklist: A new Monthly Acute and Community Fire Checklist is being developed for completion by all teams, departments, and community locations.

Evacuation Procedures and Training: Evacuation procedures are being escalated, with training provided to clinical teams, including a simulated exercise at an extended SMT workshop, which has been completed.

Backlog Maintenance for Fire Safety: A Backlog Maintenance paper for 2024/25 has been submitted to the Environment Board, covering key fire-related works, including basement compartmentation, fire damper remediation, main entrance remedial work, and upgrades to fire doors. The outline proposal has been agreed upon, with detailed costs and a program plan being developed. Costs have now been

Corporate Risk ID	Strategic Ambition	Туре	Principle Risk: CHS5: Violence and aggression against staff		Appetite	Initial Rating	December Rating	January Rating	Target Rating	Target Date
CRR75: CHS5 Health and Safety	An Environment that promotes wellbeing	Operational ; Health & Safety	Organisational risk to compliance with legislative requirements, with the risk of major injuries, to employees due to the failure to manage the risk of staff being subjected to acts of violence a out normal duties, due to lack of suitable control measures and appropriate training.		Minimal	16	12	12	8	ТВС
Key Targets			Current Position	Plans to Improve Control and Risks t	to Delivery					
Suitable and sufficien HIF activities. Supported by up to d activities carried out geographical differen Risk assessments, pol actively monitored ar Use of available data absence as part of the process. Provision of appropri to all Trust staff clinic	ate policies that by the Trust and ces created. licies and control nd reviewed. sources, such Da e monitoring and	reflect the the measures tix, sickness I review	 Outdated Policies: Current policies on Violence & Aggression, Security, and Lone Working are outdated and do not reflect the Trust's current structure, services, or resources. Generic Risk Assessments: Available risk assessments are generic and lack clear identification of hazards or control measures. Limited Security Presence: Security coverage is limited, with a security guard in place only in the Emergency Department from 6 PM to 6 AM, and a single Local Security Management Specialist (LSMS) supporting the entire Community footprint. Inadequate Training: Training is limited and not provided on a risk-based approach, with low compliance in Conflict Resolution and Physical Restraint training, particularly before 2024. Inconsistent Escalation Procedures: Procedures for staff response to incidents and patient management are limited and inconsistently applied. High Incident Rates: There are daily reports of violence and aggression against staff, with 20-30 incidents recorded per month, despite the Trust's promotion of a zero-tolerance approach. Cultural Issues: There is an ingrained culture of accepting certain levels of violence and aggression. Training Updates and Compliance: Conflict Resolution Level 1 (mandatory e-learning) was introduced in January 2024, with 83.9% compliance across the Trust and 77.4% compliance in the HIF. Lone Working training compliance stands at 96.7%. Pre-2024 compliance for Conflict Resolution Breakaway Skills was 56.2%, with even lower compliance for Physical Restraint training. Security Review: A limited assurance audit on Security has highlighted significant gaps, leading to a decision to separate Security risks from the broader V&A risks. This will include areas such as security policies, physical presence, lockdown procedures, and community su	Task and Finish Group: A Task and and improve all existing policies a Monthly meetings will begin in M Mental Health Triage and Policy! Department are ongoing and will self-harm or have mental health i Ligature Assessments: Ligature ri changes. Training provision for lig changes. Conflict Resolution Training: A ne levels tailored to staff risk levels. Network, with ongoing discussion Trust. A business case is being precommental to be sexual violence, and workplace senew policy and training package f by September/October. Policy Reviews: New policy and poffective. New Risk Assessment Process: A to inform team and department-Irisk assessment process across the	update: Cha be incorpora issues. This p isk assessmer gature risks is ew Conflict R The content is to ensure a epared to exprove the content is to ensure a epared to exprove the content is to ensure a epared to exprove the content is to ensure a epared to exprove the content is to ensure a epared to exprove the content is to ensure a epared to exprove the content is to ensure a epared to exprove the content is to ensure a epared to express the content is to ensure a epared to express the content is to ensure a epared to express the content is to ensure the content	es, aligning nges to me ated into a olicy is in th nts are und also being esolution to will align w appropriate band trainin s to all com edures. gs are bein nto the Vio gers is in de are under del are under r isk assessm	ntal health tri new policy for ne approval pi er review due addressed aff raining progra ith the CQC-si e training need ng provision. munity teams g held to intel lence Prevent evelopment, v oppment for st eview to ensu	age in the Endem and Incomposed and	mergency patients who April 2024. If therapy are used by states where the states of th	oroach. o may rea affing vith three luction cross the erway to c abuse, tegy. A lk session and being use

Corporate Risk ID	Strategic Ambition		Principle Risk: CHS10: Physical security provisions, training and support resources	Appetite	Initial Rating	December Rating	January Rating	Target Rating	Target Date
CRR102: CHS10 Health and Safety	An Environment that promotes wellbeing	Operational ; Health & Safety	Organisational risk to compliance with legislative requirements, and the risk of major injuries, fatality or permanent disability to employees, patients, visitors or others due lack of suitable policies and procedures, and the subsequent lack of suitable and sufficient control measures, including physical security provision, training, resources to support implementation.	Minimal	16	16	16	8	April 25
Key Targets			Current Position Plans to Impr	ove Control ar	nd Risks to D	elivery			

Building Security Assessments completed for all premises used by Trust staff (this will not include patient homes which will be referenced in any relevant patient plan)

Supported by up to date policies that reflect the activities carried out by the Trust and the geographical differences created.

Risk assessments, policies and control measures actively monitored and reviewed. Reported via Security Forum

Use of available data sources, such Datix, sickness absence as part of the monitoring and review process.

Security incidents investigated and remedial action taken where identified.

Effective communications to all staff.

Provision of appropriate training and information to all Trust staff clinical and nonclinical.

Outdated Security Policies: Policies related to Security, Lockdown, Bomb Alert, Theft, Damage of Trust assets, personal property, and CCTV are outdated and do not reflect the Trust's geographical footprint or current operations.

Generic Risk Assessments: Existing security risk assessments are generic and do not sufficiently identify hazards or provide clear control measures, particularly for building security, individual response, and lone working.

Limited Security Presence:

- Acute Setting: Security is present only from 6 PM to 6 AM daily, with additional coverage on Monday, Friday (7 AM -5:30 PM), and weekends (6 AM -6 PM).
- Community Hospitals: No dedicated security presence, such as at Ripon Community Hospital.
- Community Footprint: A single Local Security Management Specialist (LSMS) covers the entire community setting, limiting response capabilities.

Inconsistent Training: Staff training is limited and not risk-based. Compliance with escalation procedures during violent incidents is inconsistent, and staff are underprepared to manage security threats, including Violence & Aggression.

CCTV and Access Control Limitations:

- CCTV: Current coverage at the HDH site is inadequate, with management delegated to the HIF.
- · Access Control: The swipe card access system is outdated, unsupported, and lacks proper control over keys and lock codes. This has led to poor key management, particularly with contractors and Trust staff.

High Incident Rates: Recent high-risk incidents, including absconded patients and Violence & Aggression (V&A) incidents in hospital corridors and visitor toilets, underline insufficient resources and response capabilities.

Safeguarding Gaps: There is no formal communication between the Safeguarding Team, Trust Security management, and Emergency Department management, despite warnings from local law enforcement regarding County Lines gang activity.

Governance Gaps:

- . Security Leadership: Lack of clarity around executive leadership and accountability for Security within the Trust.
- Security Forum: The Trust Security Forum has been established and now reports to the Health & Safety (H&S) Committee. A review of membership and terms of reference is underway.

Plans to Improve Control and Risks to Delivery

Policy Updates: The Health & Safety (H&S) team, in coordination with HIF, is currently updating all relevant security policies, including Lockdown, Bomb Alert, Theft/Damage, and CCTV. These updates aim to align policies with the Trust's current structure, services, and geographical footprint.

Risk Assessments: Comprehensive security risk assessments are being developed, with a focus on individual sites, lone working, and staff responses. Departmental risk assessments are ongoing at the local HDH level and across the community footprint.

Security Infrastructure Improvements:

- Door Access Control: A new door access system has been costed and will be replaced incrementally as part of the Trust's Backlog Maintenance work.
- **CCTV Coverage**: A review of CCTV systems is in progress, with updates planned where necessary.
- Security Guards: HIF is obtaining legal advice regarding the provision and licencing of Security Guards at the HDH site. This will be included in a business case for securing funding for additional security personnel.

Training Improvements: Training on Violence & Aggression and Security risks is under review and will be updated to ensure staff receive appropriate, risk-based training. A new Conflict Resolution program tailored to various risk levels is in development.

Governance and Responsibility Clarification: Discussions are ongoing with HIF to clarify security roles and responsibilities. Additionally, the Trust Security Forum's review will strengthen the governance structure by refining its terms of reference and membership.

Compliance with Martyn's Law: With the impending implementation of the Terrorism (Protection of Premises) Bill (Martyn's Law), the Trust will undergo significant work to ensure compliance, particularly in areas related to terrorism risk management.

Improved Safeguarding Communication: Efforts are being made to establish formal communication channels between the Safeguarding Team, Trust Security management, and Emergency Department management to address security threats, such as County Lines gang activities.

Tab 16.1 BLUE BOX - Item 6.1 - Corporate Risk Register

These actions are critical to mitigating current risks and ensuring patient

safety, sample integrity, and operational continuity.

Corporate Risk ID	Strategic Ambition	Туре	Principle Risk: Outsourcing of Hazard Group 3 Microbiology Work Due to CL3 Facility Unavailability		Appetite	Initial Rating	December Rating	January Rating	Target Rating	Targe Date
CRR98 Microbiology Work Due to CL3 Facility	An Environment that promotes wellbeing	Operational ; Health & Safety	The unavailability of the onsite Containment Level 3 (CL3) laboratory at HDFT, deemed unfit for purpose in Noven led to the outsourcing of Hazard Group 3 microbiology work to external providers. Initially outsourced to NHS Tru WYAAT and, since June 2024, to a private laboratory in London, this situation poses risks to quality, safety, and fir sustainability, including potential delays in clinical diagnosis, risk of inappropriate treatment, and significant ongo pressures.	sts within nancial	Minimal	9	15	15	6	Marc 25
Key Targets			Current Position	Plans to Impro	ove Control a	nd Risks to D	Pelivery			
 Minimise de Zero staff had exposure to 3 pathogens Zero lost sail Cessation of cost pressure 	arms resulting fr unexpected ha mples	om zard group	 Since the unavailability of the CL3 lab at HDFT and the outsourcing of Hazard Group 3 microbiology work to a private laboratory in London, significant risks have emerged related to the logistics provider (DX). These include: Sample Delays: Routine delays of one day compared to in-house testing, with an additional four-day delay for Friday samples due to weekend non-delivery. Lost Samples: In June 2024, a box of 12 samples was lost for nine days without an audit trail, raising concerns about sample integrity, data breaches, and mishandling of potentially hazardous materials. Patient Safety: Delays in sample processing may lead to inappropriate antibiotic use, missed opportunities for treatment adjustments, and patients needing to repeat invasive procedures. Mitigation Efforts: Attempts to source alternative NHS suppliers within the region have been unsuccessful, as many facilities are at capacity or under refurbishment, leaving limited options to reduce current risks. These issues present quality, safety, and financial implications that remain unresolved while awaiting further mitigation strategies. 	associated vincluding de including de Recc An o pres proc and capa DX T DX, tiden occu invete tracl	with the out elays, lost sa de: commissioni outline busin ented to th eed. This bi implement abilities and fransport In the transpo outify potenti urrences of I stigation ar king, deliver rcing Altern oite ongoing and Group 3 acity and fac- empts to ide	amples, an amples, an amples, an amples, an amples, an amples case to a BCRG on usiness case to a section times reduce references and a cost or delate a warted, by times, an ative NHS a efforts to work, no work	r, is conduction nd establish of ayed samples with the aim nd overall rel	y: ion an onsi A full busir the lab spectorestore ernal provious The result of improvious to fimprovious to the result to fimprovious to the result to the the result to the	te CL3 faciness case voification, onsite test ders. all investig to preven ts of the ing sample is supplier for found duhe region.	ork, ility was will costs, ting gation t t future

CQC CARING DOMAIN

People are always treated with kindness, empathy, and compassion. They are supported to live as independently as possible. Their privacy and dignity are respected. Every effort is made to take their wishes into account and respect their choices, to achieve the best possible outcomes for them. Kindness, compassion and dignity - We always treat people with kindness, empathy and compassion and we respect their privacy and dignity. We treat colleagues from other organisations with kindness and respect.

- Treating people as individuals We treat people as individuals and make sure their care, support and treatment meets their needs and preferences. We take account of their strengths, abilities, aspirations, culture and unique backgrounds and protected characteristics.
- Independence, choice and control We promote people's independence, so they know their rights and have choice and control over their own care, treatment and wellbeing.
- Responding to people's immediate needs We listen to and understand people's needs, views and wishes. We respond to these in that moment and will act to minimise any discomfort, concern or distress.
- Workforce wellbeing and enablement We care about and promote the wellbeing of our staff, and we support and enable them to always deliver person centred care.

Lead Committee	Quality Commit	tee: People and	d Culture (Workforce Risk)	Summ	nary in Month:													
Executive Committee	Quality Manage Workforce Com			addres	In alignment with the CQC CARING Domain, which emphasizes treating people with kindness, empathy, and compassion while supporting staff wellbeing, the organisation has been addressing risks related to patient safety and colleague health due to low staffing levels in the North Yorkshire 0-19 Service (CRR93). CRR93 scoring was reduced in September 2024 and therefore it has been reduced form the CRR. The Trust continues its commitment to maintaining high standards of care, respecting patient choices, and supporting the wellbeing of the													
Initial Date of Assessment	I Date of Assessment 1st July 2022				orce, in line with	h the values of th	the CARING D	Domain.										
Last Reviewed	January 2025																	
Corporate Risk ID	Strategic Ambition	Туре	Principle Risk:										Appeti	te Init Rat	Rating	Rating	Target Rating	Target Date
Key Targets			Current Position Plans to Improve Control and Risks to Delivery															

CQC RESPONSIVE DOMAIN

People and communities are at the centre of how care is planned and delivered at all times. Their health and care needs are understood and they are actively involved in planning care that meets these needs. Care, support, and treatment are easily accessible, including physical access. People can access care in ways that meet their circumstances and protected equality characteristics

- Person-centred care We make sure people are at the centre of their care and treatment choices and we decide, in partnership with them, how to respond to any relevant changes in their needs.
- Care provision, integration, and continuity We understand the diverse health and care needs of people and our local communities, so care is joined-up, flexible and supports choice and continuity.
- Providing information We provide appropriate, accurate and up-to-date information in formats that we tailor to individual needs.
- Listening to and involving people We make it easy for people to share feedback and ideas or raise complaints about their care, treatment and support. We involve them in decisions about their care and tell them what's changed as a result.
- Equity in access We make sure that everyone can access the care, support and treatment they need when they need it.
- Equity in experiences and outcomes We actively seek out and listen to information about people who are most likely to experience inequality in experience or outcomes. We tailor the care, support and treatment in response to this.
- Planning for the future We support people to plan for important life changes, so they can have enough time to make informed decisions about their future, including at the end of their life.

Lead	Resource Committee
Committee	
Executive	Operational
Committee	Management Group
	(OMG)
Initial Date of	1st July 2022
Assessment	
Last Reviewed	January 2025

Summary

The organization is facing critical challenges within the CQC Responsive Domain, which emphasizes timely, person-centered care and equitable access to services. The risks include significant delays in autism assessments (CRR34), where waiting times have ballooned to a projected 43 months, preventing children from receiving timely diagnoses and necessary support. Additionally, the Trust is struggling to meet the A&E 4-hour target, with performance dropping below the national standard of 78%, leading to increased 12-hour breaches and ambulance handover delays. These delays compromise patient safety and the quality of care, highlighting the urgent need for improved capacity, streamlined processes, and strategic resource allocation to ensure that care is responsive, accessible, and equitable for all patients.

Corporate Risk ID	Strategic	Туре	Principle Risk: CRR34: Autism Assessment	Appetite	Initial	December	January	Target	Target
	Ambition				Rating	Rating	Rating	Rating	Date
			Risk to quality of care by not meeting NICE guidance in relation to the commencement of autism assessment within 3 months of						
CRR34: Autism	Great Start in		referral. Risk that children may not get access to the right level of support without a formal diagnosis and that this could lead to						March
	Life	Clinical;	deterioration in condition. There is a need to reduce the backlog of referrals back to the NICE standard of three months (reduce	Minimal	12	15	15	8	
Assessment		Patient Safety	the waiting list to approximately 120)						25

Key Targets

Waiting list would have to be reduced to 120 and longest wait to 13 weeks.

Baseline capacity would need to meet the referral rate.

Numbers on the waiting list 1566 (target 120)

Longest wait of CYP having commenced assessment, 82 weeks (target 13)

Activity - 31 completed assessments in Aug against ICB plan of 50 (plus 2 military assessment), YTD 255 against plan of 250.

- To meet the monthly ICB target for number of assessments
- Meet the annual planned target for assessments

Current Position

We have modelled the impact of the funded Waiting List Initiative (WLI) which ended on 31st Aug 24. The projected wait for assessment for a new referral added to the waiting list today is 39 months. Our commissioned capacity is now lower at 40 assessments per month which means the waiting list will grow more steeply.

Non-recurrent funding challenges service management due to lead times for capacity acquisition and staff training, exacerbated by national shortages. Loss of a key clinical team member impacts medium-term assessment capacity.

Support provided to the team; commissioners informed. ICB-wide autism and ADHD group supersedes previous locality-based group, aiming to standardize referral criteria. No extra funding available for capacity gaps. Stabilizing waiting lists requires increased capacity, costing £490k annually. Modeling shared at CC Resources Review and escalated to place ICB meeting for executive consideration. No agreed plan for long-term resource provision is currently agreed and in place.

Plans to Improve Control and Risks to Delivery

The progress with PLACE based work. Mobilisation of WLI and new pathways

In order to stabilise the waiting list we would need to increase the service capacity to approx. 90 assessments per month with the additional staffing costing £490k full year effect. The modelling has been shared at the CC Resources Review Meeting and has been escalated to the place ICB meeting with Execs as it was felt HDFT could no longer carry all the risk of these waits and there is currently no agreed plan to provide the resources required to address this longer term.

Corporate Risk ID	Strategic Ambition	Туре	Principle Risk: CRR61									Appetite	Initial Rating	December Rating	January Rating	Target Rating	Target Date
CRR61: ED 4-	Person centred.	Clinical;	Failure to Meet A&E	4-Hour Targ	get Due to I	nadequate Patie	ent Flow, Leadi	ng to Inc	creased	d 12-Hour B	reaches and Ambulance					_	
CINIOI. LD 4-	integrated care,		Delays, Resulting in C	`omnromise	d Patient 9	afety and Regul	atory Non-Con	nnliance									N 4 = u = l=
hour Standard	strong partnership	Patient Safety	Delays, nesaring in	ompromise.		arcty and regar	atory Hon con	ipiiaricc				Minimal	12	12	12	8	March 25
Key Targets			Current Position									Plans to Impre	ove Control	and Risks to D	elivery		
A&E 4 hour target to	be met, 6 hour brea	ches <102	4 hour performan	ce													
per month and 0 x 1			The new national ta	arget for 24	1-25 is 789	6 Performance	٠.					To support the	oo Truct's T	ruo North oh	viactive of n	acating the	ED 4 hou
Jei monun and UX 1	L HOUL DIEACHES					reach Number TOTAL ED ATTE		VED LEE	VED AV	ERAGE Ripon MIL	Attendance				•	U	
			PERFORMANCE MOINT	Day Non-aumitied b	prescries Admitted to	rescri number I I I I AL ED AL I I		endances atte			Accentance	standard, se	eral focus	ed actions an	d plans are	being impl	emented:
4 hour performance			INCL RIPON						att	tendance		Focu	ssed Impa	ct Work: Targ	eted effort	s are being	made at
•		00/ The	64.27% 2022 September	30	669	544	3395	181	123	150	544		•	,	•	U	
	arget for 24-25 is 7		68.22% 2022 October	31	857	814	5258	169	122	144	785	tne o	iirectorate	, care group,	and ED fror	it iine ievei	s to
verage performar	ce decreased durir	ng the	66.22% 2022 November		875	857	5128	168	112	146 145	748	impr	ove perfor	mance agains	st the 4-hou	ır standard.	
vinter months.		O	63.65% 2022 December 77.82% 2023 January	31	943 385	959 643	5235 4635	187 154	114	145	735						
viillei illoliillis.			80.79% 2023 February	28	329	551	4580	181	102	138	721	• inte	nai Protes	sional Standa	aras: These	are being r	eiaunche
			78.45% 2023 March	31	461	688	5337	167	109	146	810	with	a draft pre	pared follow	ing a works	hop, to enh	nance
			83.48% 2023 April	30	360	501	5219	169	121	147	806					,	
			81.56% 2023 May	31	461	610	5815	182	134	157	952	esca	lation proc	esses.			
			80.54% 2023 June	30	536	617	5926	188	133	165	988	 Triag 	e Efficienc	v: Efforts are	underway	to ensure a	all natier
			81.95% 2023 July	31	522	532	5838	189	129	157	979		•	•	,		
			72.89% 2023 August	31	784	736	5607	177	130	152	902	rece	ive an initia	al triage withi	n 15 minut	es of arriva	١,
			65.56% 2023 September 69.87% 2023 October	50	1157 809	840 890	5799 5656	185 195	123	161 155	982 851	impr	oving natio	ent flow and s	safety.		
			68.91% 2023 October 68.91% 2023 November	31	854	838	5443	194	131	157	734		0.		,		
			67.10% 2023 December	31	920	931	5626	205	120	158	724	• Effec	tive Stream	ming: More f	ocused sup _l	port is bein	g provid
			71.21% 2024 January	31	767	889	5751	187	125	161	775	to in	nrove the	effectiveness	of nationt	streaming t	to Same
			71.97% 2024 February	29	778	713	5320	209	128	158	727		•			3ti Carriing	to Janne
			78.15% 2024 March	31	576	670	5702	195	128	160	750	Day	Emergency	Care (SDEC)	and ED2.		
			72.51% 2024 April	30	725	815	5606	193	129	158	858	• Non	Hooded R	eds: These ha	wa haan im	nlamantad	with
			73.40% 2024 May	31	864	790	6219	197	137	167	1038						
			72.88% 2024 June	30	873	787	6121	202	143	170	1010	mea	surable suc	cess, contrib	uting to bet	ter patient	:
			74.25% 2024 July	31	816	772	6168	196	127	165	1058			,	U		
			79.23% 2024 August	31	636	592 668	5913	181	120	156 169	1079	man	agement a	nd care outco	mes.		
			75.44% 2024 September 72.29% 2024 October	30	831 839	824	6103 5987	202	133	164	915						
			12.23% 5054 Octobes	31	039	054	3987	2019	133	104	313						

Corporate Risk ID Principle Risk: CRR79 Stroke Provision Appetite Strategic Type Initial December January Target Target Ambition Rating Rating Rating Rating Date Risk to patient care and safety due to delayed treatment caused by limited HASU capacity, non-adherence to the Person centred. Clinical: CRR79: Stroke integrated care, regional stroke pathway, and delays in assessing self-presenting stroke patients at HDFT ED, impacting timely and Provision Minimal 16 16 16 1 TBC Patient Safety strong partnership effective stroke care delivery. **Key Targets Current Position** Plans to Improve Control and Risks to Delivery All eligible patients receiving HASU Care There is limited HASU capacity at LTHT and YTHFT, and aspects of the regional stroke pathway are not being To support the Trust's True North objective, several focused actions and No patients requiring HASU are directly admitted to 2023/24 SSNAP data indicates that 41.5% of confirmed strokes were directly admitted to HDFT, bypassing plans are being implemented: Harrogate for Emergency Care. HASU care and assessment. York cannot accept HDFT patients unless they are directly referred by YAS. 1. Executive Support:Secure agreement from WYATT and HNY ICB Due to a lack of accurate and timely data, the trust cannot report all events where patients missed HASU for future stroke care arrangements across the region. access. The likelihood of risk ranges from possible to likely. 2. Regional Collaboration: Engage with WYAAT to integrate stroke care pathways and discuss regional stroke care solutions. Restart Existing controls include: paused pilot pathways for direct referrals to tertiary centres as • Awareness initiatives to ensure stroke events are reported via DCIQ. Safety investigations: One SI (18460) and a related inquest are awaiting hearing, with a potential risk of a part of WYAAT discussions. Liaise with York to develop a Prevention of Future Death (PFD) report. sustainable and comprehensive HASU support plan. Access to PPM+ viewing has been granted and is being rolled out to staff. 3. Consultant Collaboration: Explore shared on-call arrangements with York to enhance consultant cover for ASU. 4. Data Accuracy and Reporting: Conduct a 12-week audit with HDFT and YAS to investigate why stroke patients bypassed HASU care. Improve Datix reporting to ensure accurate and timely data collection for decision-making. 5. Pilot Implementation: Proceed with the pilot project for walk-in and inpatient stroke referrals to York, pending sign-off by YTHFT management. 6. Continue to monitor SSNAP data and datix's raised re direct admissions to Harrogate. Ensure datix reports submitted for all delays and non transfer is robust to understand root causes. 7. Corporate Risk ID Strategic Type Principle Risk: Cardiology **Appetite** Initial December January Target Target Ambition Rating Rating Rating Date Rating Risk to HDFT's ability to deliver acute DGH services due to the fragility of the cardiology service caused by inadequate Clinical Person centred, CRR105: staffing, reliance on locum cover, and increasing service demand. integrated care, Cardiology Patient Safety Minimal 12 12 Dec 2025 strong partnership **Key Targets** Plans to Improve Control and Risks to Delivery **Current Position** Staffing and Workforce KRIs: · Staffing Shortages: Consultant Staffing Levels: Consultant staffing is currently 12.5 PAs short, covered by locums, resulting in lack of continuity and associated risks to To support the Trust's True North objective, several focused actions and Percentage of Consultant PAs filled with substantive quality. Recruitment for a substantive consultant post is ongoing with R&R premia offered. plans are being implemented: staff versus locums. Number of unfilled Consultant Cardiology Fellow recruitment is underway to address acute care continuity and safety risks. Strategic Planning: posts after each recruitment round. Existing workforce lacks skillsets for temporary pacing wires and pericardiocentesis; collaboration with LGI provides A cardiology strategy meeting is scheduled for November 24 to address specialist support. long-term service sustainability. Quality and Outcomes KRIs: Clinical Outcomes: · Service Delivery Challenges: Workforce Development: Continue recruitment for a substantive

consultant post and Cardiology Fellow.

Harrogate and District NHS Foundation Trust Corporate Risk Register

Mortality rates for acute cardiology patients on CCU.

Long outpatient wait times for angiograms (30% waiting over six weeks, down from 50%) and ECHO services (22% Develop "grow your own" plans for the ECHO team to ensure workforce waiting over six weeks, improved from 70%). Pacemaker service demand is increasing due to an aging population. No resilience. weekend Consultant ward rounds or ECHO provision, failing to meet GIRFT standards.

Current Mitigations:

Locum consultants and registrars are in place to maintain minimum service levels.

Outsourcing of ECHO workload has reduced backlogs, with a permanent post recruited (starting Jan 2025).

Cath lab utilization is under review to further address angio delays. HDFT IMPACT meetings and LTUC Tri-Team updates Collaboration: Strengthen links with LTHT's Clinical Lead for specialty ensure escalations are reported to the executive team.

Service Improvements: Review Cath lab utilization to further reduce angio waiting times. Evaluate options to provide weekend Consultant ward rounds and ECHO provision to meet GIRFT standards.

support and shared learning.

Demand Management: Explore solutions to manage the increasing demand on the pacemaker service due to the aging population.

Corporate Risk ID	Strategic Ambition	Туре	Principle Risk: Imaging for ED Patients	Appetite	Initial Rating	December Rating	January Rating	Target Rating	Target Date
CRR10: Imaging for ED Patients	Person centred, integrated care, strong partnership	Patient	Risk to patient safety due to potential delays in diagnostic imaging for ED patients caused by intermittent CT scanner breakdowns, lack of MRI access out of hours, and delays in CT reporting for trauma cases due to unclear job planning and Medica processes. These issues could lead to delayed diagnoses, compromised patient outcomes, and increased treatment times, impacting the organisation's ability to provide timely and effective emergency care.	Minimal	12	12	12	3	Dec 2025
Key Targets		Current Posi	tion Plans to Improve Control and Risks t	o Delivery					

Reduction in incidents breakdown of CT scanner

· Access to MRI out of hours

Reduction in Delays for CT reporting out of hours for trauma

HDFT faces a significant risk to patient safety due to delays in diagnostic imaging caused by:

Equipment and Access Issues:

- o Intermittent breakdowns of the CT scanner, requiring reliance on temporary solutions like a dismountable Canon CT scanner and mobile CT scanner.
- Lack of access to MRI services out of hours, resulting in non-compliance with GIRFT recommendations for 24/7 access (compliance deadline June 2024).

· Operational and Reporting Delays:

- o Delays in CT reporting for trauma cases caused by unclear job planning and Medica
- o Delays to scans due to the unavailability of a 24/7 transfer team.

Short-term mitigation includes a Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for diverting patients to Leeds when the CT scanner is down and the use of temporary CT scanner facilities. Longer-term plans involve permanent infrastructure improvements to house a new CT scanner within the hospital building.

Equipment and Infrastructure:

- A dismountable Canon CT scanner and mobile CT scanner are operational on-site to maintain service continuity.
- An SOP is in place to divert patients to Leeds when the CT scanner is non-functional.

Reporting and Escalation:

· Continued escalation and updates through operational teams to address Medica delays and job planning gaps for CT reporting.

Plans for Improvement:

1. Infrastructure Development:

o Complete works for installing a permanent CT scanner within the hospital building to ensure reliable imaging services.

2. MRI Access and Compliance:

 Develop and implement a plan to achieve 24/7 MRI access by June 2024 to meet GIRFT requirements. This includes exploring partnerships, additional staffing, or equipment procurement.

3. Operational Efficiency:

- o Address delays in CT reporting by revising job planning and ensuring clear processes
- o Review and enhance transfer team availability to support 24/7 imaging needs.

4. Monitoring and Review:

- Conduct regular reviews of imaging service delays, including equipment downtime, reporting timeframes, and transfer delays, to track improvements.
- o Evaluate the effectiveness of temporary CT solutions and escalate any gaps to the executive team.

This approach prioritises patient safety by ensuring continuous access to diagnostic imaging services while addressing equipment, staffing, and operational challenges.

Council of Governors - Public Meeting

- 5 March 2025-05/03/25

USE OF RESOURCES

Use of resources area Key lines of enquiry (KLOEs)

- Clinical services How well is the trust using its resources to provide clinical services that operate as productively as possible and thereby maximise patient benefit?
- People- How effectively is the trust using its workforce to maximise patient benefit and provide high quality care?
- Clinical support services How effectively is the trust using its clinical support services to deliver high quality, sustainable services for patients?
- Corporate services, procurement, estates and facilities How effectively is the trust managing its corporate services, procurement, estates and facilities to maximise productivity to the benefit of patients?
- Finance How effectively is the trust managing its financial resources to deliver high quality, sustainable services for patients?

Lead Committee	Res	ource Committee		Summary in Month:						
				The Trust is currently addressing significant financial challenges under the CQC Use of Resources domain, which emphasizes the ef						
Executive Committee		erational Managemen MG)	nt Committee	sustainable, high-quality care. To deliver the 2024/25 plan, which includes a £5.2 million deficit and a 6% efficiency target, the Trust Reduction and Productivity (WRAP) programme, despite high-risk schemes and ongoing financial pressures. Additionally, the Trust to fund the impact of NHS pay awards, which could further strain resources if funding gaps remain unaddressed. The Trust is engaged.	faces potentia	al cost press	ures due to the	e ability of Lo	cal Authorit	ties (LAs)
Initial Date of Assessme	nt 1 st J	July 2022		- mitigate these risks. To ensure these financial challenges are managed effectively, the Trust has implemented monthly meetings a	, ,				•	O
Last Reviewed	Janu	uary 2025		efficiency, workforce optimization, and financial stability, all of which are critical to maintaining productivity and delivering high-qu				ice teams, for	using on co	rporate
Corporate Risk ID	Strategic	Туре	Principle Ris	<u>k:</u>	Appetite	Initial	December	January	Target	Target
CRR94 Delivery of financial plan	Ambition Overarchin Finance			hieved a breakeven plan in 23/24 however for the Trust to deliver the 24/25 plan, £5.2m deficit, it will require a reduction to rate and delivery of the waste reduction and productivity program	Cautious	Rating 9	Rating 16	Rating 16	Rating 8	March 25
Key Targets		Curren	t Position	Plans to Improve	Control and Ri	isks to Deliv	very			

1. Monthly financial reporting

- 2. NHSE productivity analysis
- 3. Agency Expenditure
- 4. Cash position

The Trust has reviewed and established the underlying pressure moving into 24/25, £20.1m. Following further 1. Continued discussions with ICB. scrutiny across the wider system, the system agreed to a higher efficiency % target and an allocation of further funding. This has resulted in a £5.2m deficit plan for 24/25 which includes a 6% efficiency target.

There are a number of risks contained within this plan including

- Continued ED boundary divert
- Inflation above the levels included in planning
- Recurrent delivery of the efficiency programme
- ERF Funding is achieved/over delivered

The Directorate highlighted a number of issues when signing budget plans for 24/25. A number of mitigations are being reviewed to manage these.

As at December the Trust are £8.6m away from plan, £12.5m deficit YTD however the current forecast suggests this will worsen and is likely to be between a £18m to £20m deficit, there are a number of areas contributing to this.

An area which continues to show improvement is agency spend which is now 1.3% against a 3.2% NHSE target.

The current run rate is having a detrimental impact on the cash balance.

Cash support will be required throughout the year if the reduction in run rate is not delivered. Current cash forecast highlights that this will be required in March 25.

- 2. Efficiency becoming a Corporate programme. Targeted Directorate training and support have been delivered to all Directorates.
- 3. WRAP Champions to be developed across the Trust.

Corporate Risk ID	Strategic	Туре	Principle Risk:	Appetite	Initial	December	January	Target	Target		
CDDOF	Ambition Overarching	Financial	Ability of Local Authorities to fund the impact of NHS pay award could result in a cost pressure for HDFT. The Public		Rating	Rating	Rating	Rating	Date		
CRR95	Finance	Tillaticial	Health Grant for 2024/25 varies by Local Authority. While NHS national guidance suggests that the Public Health Grant								
NHS Pay awards	;		has been uplifted to cover both the ICB non recurrently funded 2.9% from the 2023/24 pay award and the 2.1%						N. Garanala		
			proposed pay award for 2024/25 this appears not to be the case for all the Local Authorities we have contract with.	Cautious	12	12	12	4	March 25		
			Where there is a gap between LA public health grant and the cost of pay award there is a risk HDFT could be left with a financial pressure								
	Key Targets		Current Position	Plans to I	mprove Co	ntrol and Risks	to Delivery				
Written confirmati awards received fr	on of funding for pa om LA.	•	Trust has communicated with all Local Authorities (LAs) regarding the need for them to fund the 2.9% pay award and the losed 2.1% increase for 2024/25.	address tl	ne fundin	y engaging w g required fo increase for	r the 2.9% إ				
Revised workforce	model agreed and	Fina	ince has provided the LAs with the associated costs, and ongoing meetings are being held to discuss funding				·				
signed off by LA ar	d HDFT	arra	ngements, particularly in relation to Public Health Grant allocations and the cost of NHS pay awards.		•	led detailed on the legal of th					
			nsure progress, monthly meetings have been established with the Directorate, Contracting, and Finance teams to track back from the LAs and determine the next steps. The situation is being closely monitored as discussions continue.	funding, partion		y concerning	g Public Hea	lth Grant			
		posi	financial impact of NHS pay awards on Local Authority (LA) Commissioned Services remains a significant risk, with varying tions across LAs for 2024/25. Award Coverage and Challenges: The Public Health Grant for 2024/25 is insufficient in some areas to fully cover the 2.9% pay award from	To manage and monitor progress, the Trust has estable monthly meetings with the Directorate, Contracting, ar Finance teams to review feedback from LAs and determ the appropriate next steps.							
			 2023/24 (previously funded by the ICB on a non-recurrent basis) and the proposed 2.1% pay award for 2024/25. Where there are funding gaps, service models may need adjustment to align with available budgets, 			These actions are part of a coordinated effort to secure necessary funding and ensure financial stability for the upcoming fiscal year.					
		Loca	introducing potential risks to service delivery. I Authority Funding Positions:		•						
			 Middlesbrough: Public Health Grant uplift does not cover the 2.9% or 2.1% pay awards; discussions are ongoing. North Yorkshire: Grant uplift covers the 2.9% but not the 2.1%; awaiting final pay award confirmation. Wakefield: Currently not funding due to contract underspend; discussions ongoing on using the 23/24 underspend to fund future pay awards. Durham, Darlington, and Northumberland: Public Health Grant is sufficient to cover both pay awards. Gateshead, Stockton, and Sunderland: Awaiting further confirmation or budget adjustments; discussions are ongoing. 	• Po Where fu adjustme	Fina info requ Mor Dire mor Consuch of Fixential Sonding gapnts. If the	igation Effor nce and contremed LAs abuirements an athly meeting ctorate, Contitor LA feedl tracting has a a as in Wakef nance. ervice Implic s persist, ser se adjustment	tracting teal out the fund d provided gs are in pla tracting, and back and places calated urileld, to the ations: vice models not spose risk pose risk pose risk and places.	ding cost detai ce betwee d Finance an next st nresolved Deputy D	en the e to eeps. l issues, pirector		

Council of Governors - Public Meeting

O

March 2025-05/03/25

CQC EFFECTIVE DOMAIN

People and communities have the best possible outcomes because their needs are assessed. Their care, support and treatment reflect these needs and any protected equality characteristics. Everyone is supported to see what works well and not so well based on indicators of quality. Continuous improvement is always guided by this insight

- Assessing needs We maximise the effectiveness of people's care and treatment by assessing and reviewing their health, care, wellbeing and communication needs with them.
- Delivering evidence-based care and treatment We plan and deliver people's care and treatment with them, including what is important and matters to them. We do this in line with legislation and current evidence-based good practice and standards.
- How staff, teams and services work together We work effectively across teams and services to support people. We make sure they only need to tell their story once by sharing their assessment of needs when they move between different services.
- Supporting people to live healthier lives We support people to manage their health and wellbeing so they can maximise their independence, choice and control. We support them to live healthier lives and where possible, reduce their future needs for care and support.
- Monitoring and improving outcomes We routinely monitor people's care and treatment to continuously improve it. We ensure that outcomes are positive and consistent, and that they meet both clinical expectations and the expectations of people themselves.
- Consent to care and treatment We tell people about their rights around consent and respect these when we deliver person-centred care and treatment.

Lead Committee		Quality Comm	ttee Summary in Month:						
Executive Committ	tee	Quality Manag Group (QGMG	bish is a need to notice the affect and Tourst pour pour against NUIC toursts. An additional C1 F william in continue the above	secured to extend	the Commu	nity Dental Ser	vices (CDS) cor	ntract, with	strategic
Initial Date of Asse	essment	1st July 2022	discussions on potential funding increases and service adjustments post-election.						
Last Reviewed		January 2025							
Corporate Risk ID	Strategic Ambition	Туре	Principle Risk: Disk to patient sofety due to correlation of languagiting times and increased risk of pain and infection, which may offer	Appetite	Initial Rating	December Rating	January Rating	Target Rating	Target Date
CRR87 Community	Provide person centred, integrated services through strong partnerships	Clinical; Patient Safety	Risk to patient safety due to correlation of long waiting times and increased risk of pain and infection, which may affect quality of life and treatment required. Secondary risk to Trust performance standards by failing to meet NHS annual planning target of no RTT waiters beyond 78weeks currently, 65 weeks by end March 2024 and 52wks by end March 2024.		12	12	12	6	August 25
Dental									

Numbers on the patients waiting to start treatment over 52weeks, 65weeks and 78weeks

The ICB has agreed to invest an additional £1.5 million into the CDS service at HDFT, extending the contract by 18 months until March 31, 2025.

Current position for RTT waiters –3 patients between 52-64 weeks. Current position for Non RTT waiters – 125 patients over 78 weeks, 199 patients between 65-77 weeks, 366 patients between 52-64 weeks. Regional discussions suggest a potential agreement on a 7+3 contract and amended service specification, with a possible increase in the funding envelope, though formal confirmation is pending post-general election.

No of overdue continuing care patients. Current position – 2169 patients overdue. Longest waiter - 4 years overdue. The current funding does not fully align with the submitted business case, so the operational team and service manager have developed a plan to optimize the use of this investment, focusing on managing waiting times for both RTT and non-RTT patients. Key actions for July include recruiting a new clinical lead, continuing IT procurement, and addressing low staff engagement, which has been identified as a significant risk to service delivery.

The CDS team is also being encouraged to participate in the HDFT Impact work as part of phase 4 to further support service improvements.

The key plans and actions for the CDS service include ongoing liaison with the ICB and the implementation of a Waiting List Initiative (WLI) to address patient backlogs, with additional GA and clinic sessions planned for the financial year.

The replacement of the SOEL Health dental IT system is underway, although the procurement process has faced delays, and a direct award is being sought to meet the April 2024 deadline.

Capital kit replacement, including dental chairs and X-ray equipment, is progressing, with 2023/24 equipment being installed and approvals pending for 2024/25 purchases.

Recruitment efforts are ongoing, with successful appointments for dentists and dental nurses from the business case, though challenges remain in filling positions in the East and for paediatric specialists. Recruitment for key leavers is also ongoing, with many new staff expected to start in September 2024.

Council of Governors - Public Meeting - 5 March 2025-05/03/25

Harrogate and District NHS Foundation Trust Corporate Risk Register

CQC WELL-LED DOMAIN

There is an inclusive and positive culture of continuous learning and improvement. This is based on meeting the needs of people who use services and wider communities. There are effective governance and management systems in place. Leaders proactively support staff and collaborate with partners to deliver care. This care is safe, integrated, person-centred and sustainable care and helps reduce inequalities.

- Shared direction and culture: We have a shared vision, strategy and culture. This is based on transparency, equity, equality and human rights, diversity and inclusion, engagement, and understanding challenges and the needs of people and our communities in order to meet these.
- Capable, compassionate and inclusive leaders: We have inclusive leaders at all levels who understand the context in which we deliver care, treatment and support and embody the culture and values of their workforce and organisation. They have the skills, knowledge, experience and credibility to lead effectively. They do so with integrity, openness and honesty.
- Freedom to speak up: We foster a positive culture where people feel that they can speak up and that their voice will be heard.
- Governance, management and sustainability: We have clear responsibilities, roles, systems of accountability and good governance. We use these to manage and deliver good quality, sustainable care, treatment and support. We act on the best information about risk, performance and outcomes, and we share this securely with others when appropriate.
- Partnerships and communities: We understand our duty to collaborate and work in partnership, so our services work seamlessly for people. We share information and learning with partners and collaborate for improvement.
- Learning, improvement and innovation: We focus on continuous learning, innovation and improvement across our organisation and the local system. We encourage creative ways of delivering equality of experience, outcome and quality of life for people. We actively contribute to safe, effective practice and research.
- Environmental sustainability sustainable development: We understand any negative impact of our activities on the environment and we strive to make a positive contribution in reducing it and support people to do the same.
- Workforce equality, diversity and inclusion: We value diversity in our workforce. We work towards an inclusive and fair culture by improving equality and equity for people who work for us."

Lead Committee		Trust Board		Summary in Month:							
				This area of the Corporate Risk Register is linked to the Well-Led Domain. Currently there is no Corporate Risk within this	s Domain.						
Executive Committe	e	Senior Management									
		Committee (SMT)									
Initial Date of Assess	sment	1st July 2022									
Last Reviewed		September 24									
Corporate Risk ID	Strategic Ambition	Туре	Principle	e Risk:	Appe	etite	Initial	Rating	Rating	Target	Target
							Rating			Rating	Date
	Key Targets			Current Position	Pla	ns to Im	prove Con	trol and Risks	to Delivery		

HDFT Trust IBR – static view for January 2025

Live view:



Integrated Board Report - Power BI

Integrated Board Report – True North Metrics – Within Tolerance

				Tab 16.2 BLUE
	Integrated Board Report – True North Metrics –	- Within Tolera	nce	Tab 16.2 BLUE BOX - Item 6.2 - Integrated Board Report
	True North - Improving/Achieving			rated Boar
>>	Name ∨	Progress ∨	Trend ~	rd Report
	TN1 - Staff Availability - True North Metric - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	96.4% ↑ 1.07% MoM		
	TN2.1 RTT - percentage of patients on an RTT pathway under 18 weeks - True North Metric- Best Quality and Safest Care	66.4%/90% • 0.76% WoW		
	TN3 Moderate Harm & Above - True North Metric - Best Quality and Safest Care	59 /125 ↑ 18% MoM	/	
	True North Submetric - on track			
	TN2.2 RTT - pathways over 52 weeks active by April 2025 to be zero	544 /0		

Council of Governors - Public Meeting - 5 March 2025-05/03/25

Integrated Board Report – Breakthrough Objectives – In Breach

BO Submetric - not achieving

BO2.2 LTUCC - average time to inpatient bed -BO Submetric	476.09/120.00	
BO2.3 PSC (Adult) - average time to inpatient bed - BO Submetric	300.67/120.00	<u></u>
BO2.4 PSC (Paeds) - average time to inpatient bed - BO Submetric	137.38/120.00	~~

Integrated Board Report – Breakthrough Objectives – Within Tolerance

Tab 16.2 BLUE BOX - Item 6.2 - Integrated Board Report

BO Stable or Improving

✓ BO1.1 - PRESSURE ULCERS HOSPITAL - Breakthrough Obj - Best Quality and Safest Care	11 /26	
BO1.2 PRESSURE ULCERS COMMUNITY - Breakthrough Obj - Best Quality and Safest Care	11 /16	
BO2.1 - Average time to inpatient bed <120mins (from DTA in ED)- Breakthrough Obj - Best Quality and Safest Care	425.2/120.0	

Integrated Board Report – Watch Metrics – In Breach

Watch - SPC Breach

>	Name v	Progress V	Trend ~
1	1.3 Inpatient falls per 1,000 bed days SAFE - Best quality Safest Care	66.92	~
1	1.4 Infection control - Hospital acquired C.difficile cases, lapse in care identified SAFE - Best quality Safest Care	4	\\\\
1	1.5 Infection control - Hospital acquired MRSA cases, lapse in care identified SAFE - Best quality Safest Care	1	
1	1.7.1 Incidents - comprehensive serious incidents (SI) SAFE - Best quality Safest Care	1	_/
	1.8.2 Safer staffing levels - CHPPD SAFE - Best quality Safest Care	7.9	~
1	1.14 Sepsis screening - inpatient wards SAFE - Best quality Safest Care	79.41%	
	1.14 Sepsis screening - inpatient wards SAFE - Best quality Safest Care 2.2.2 Complaints - % responded to within time CARING - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	79.41% 75%	~
	2.2.2 Complaints - % responded to within time CARING - Person Centred, Integrated Care;		
	2.2.2 Complaints - % responded to within time CARING - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	75%	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
	2.2.2 Complaints - % responded to within time CARING - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships 3.2 Mortality - SHMI EFFECTIVE - Best Quality Safest Care 3.3.1 Readmissions to the same specialty within 30 days - following elective admission - as % of	75% 1.13	

Tab 16.2 BLUE BOX - Item 6.2 - Integrated Board Report

Integrated Board Report – Watch Metrics – In Breach (2)

Watch - SPC Breach

	Name v	Progress ∨	Trend ~
	5.6 A&E 4 hour standard RESPONSIVE -Best Quality Safest Care	73.1%	~
1	5.7 Ambulance handovers - % within 15 mins RESPONSIVE - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	41%	~
	5.10 Cancer - 14 days maximum wait from urgent GP referral for all urgent suspect cancer referrals RESPONSIVE - Best Quality and Safest Care	62.3 %	~
	5.11 Cancer - 28 days faster diagnosis standard (suspected cancer referrals) RESPONSIVE - Best Quality and Safest Care	71.9 %	~
1	5.23 Community Care Adult Teams - performance against new timeliness standards RESPONSIVE- Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	80.0 %	~
	6.2 Surplus/ Defecit and variance to plan EFFICIENCY & FINANCE - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	-£3.06M	~
	6.5.2 Long stay patients - superstranded (>21 days LOS) EFFICIENCY & FINANCE- Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	75	
	6.6 Occupied bed days per 1,000 population EFFICIENCY & FINANCE - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	67.3	~
	6.7.2 Length of stay - non-elective EFFICIENCY & FINANCE - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	6.3	~
	7.2 Outpatient activity (New Consultant/Nurse) against plan ACTIVITY - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	85.8%	
-	5.8 A&E - number of 12 hour trolley waits RESPONSIVE Best Quality Safest Care	88	~
	5.8.1 A&E - number of 12 hour from arrival stays RESPONSIVE Best Quality Safest Care	371	<u></u>

Integrated Board Report – Watch Metrics – Within Tolerance (1)

¥	Name ~	Progress ~	Trend ~
1	1.1 Pressure ulcers - hospital acquired - cat 3 or above - per 1,000 bed days - SAFE - Best quality Safest Care	0.79	^
1	1.2 Pressure ulcers - community acquired - cat 3 or above - per 1,000 patient contacts SAFE - Best quality Safest Care	1.67	\checkmark
	1.6 Incidents - ratio of low harm incidents SAFE - Best quality Safest Care	69.80	
	1.7.2 Incidents - Never events SAFE - Best quality Safest Care	0	
1	1.8.1 Safer staffing levels - fill rate SAFE - Best quality Safest Care	100.6 %	
	1.9 Maternity - % women seen by a midwife (or healthcare professional) by 12w 6d SAFE - Best quality Safest Care	95.8 %	~~
	1.11 Infant health - % women smoking at time of delivery SAFE - Great Start in Life	2.2%	~
1	1.12 Infant health - % women initiating breastfeeding SAFE - Great Start in Life	96.0 %	~
1	1.13 VTE risk assessment - inpatients SAFE - Best quality Safest Care	93.0 %	~
1	1.15 Sepsis screening - Emergency department SAFE - Best quality Safest Care	91.80%	~~
1	2.1.1Friends & Family Test (FFT) - All Patients CARING - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	93.0 %	^~
	2.1.2 Friends & Family Test (FFT) - Adult Community Services CARING - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	91.0 %	~

Integrated Board Report – Watch Metrics – Within Tolerance (2)

¥ Name ✓	Progress V	Trend ∨
2.2.1 Complaints - numbers received CARING - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	25	~
3.3.2 Readmissions to the same specialty within 30 days - following non-elective admission - as % of all non-elective admissions EFFECTIVE- Best Quality Safest Care	7.7 %	
3.4 Returns to theatre EFFECTIVE - % returns within 30 days - Best Quality Safest Care	1.8 %	~
3.5 Delayed Transfer of Care - % inpatients not meeting the criteria to reside EFFECTIVE - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	11.0 %	~
4.2 Mandatory and Essential Skills Training rate WORKFORCE - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	92.0 %	/
4.3 Staff sickness rate WORKFORCE - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	5.2 %	~
4.4 Staff turnover rate WORKFORCE - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	11.0 %	~
4.5 Vacancies WORKFORCE - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	3.5 %	1
5.1.1 RTT Incomplete pathways performance - median weeks wait RESPONSIVE- Best Quality Safest Care	11	
5.1.2 RTT Incomplete pathways performance - 92nd centile RESPONSIVE - Best Quality Safest Care	35	$\overline{}$
5.1.3 RTT Incomplete pathways - total RESPONSIVE - Best Quality Safest Care	21.69K	
5.1.4 RTT Incomplete pathways - 52-<104 weeks RESPONSIVE - Best Quality Safest Care	261	

Integrated Board Report – Watch Metrics – Within Tolerance (3)

∀ Name ∨	Progress ~	Trend ✓
5.2.1 RTT waiting times - by ethnicity(gap between BME & White (positive is shorter wait for BME) RESPONSIVE - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	4.91	~
5.2.2 RTT waiting times - by level of deprivation- differential median wait in weeks (negative gap reflects high deprivation waiting a shorter time) RESPONSIVE- Person Centred, Integrated Care;	-1.00	
5.5 Data quality on ethnic group - inpatients RESPONSIVE - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	90.5 %	^
62 day waits- Cancer Treatment RESPONSIVE - True North Metric - Best Quality and Safest Care	40	
5.12 Cancer - Combined 31 day wait (First and Subsequent Treatments)	97.4 %	
5.9.2 Cancer - 62 days maximum wait from referral to treatment for all cancers RESPONSIVE - Best Quality and Safest Care	76.9 %	~
5.13.1 Children's Services - 0-12 months caseload RESPONSIVE - Great Start in Life	2.08K	~
5.13.2 Children's Services - 2-3 years caseload RESPONSIVE - Great Start in Life	2.09K	\checkmark
5.14 Children's Services - Safeguarding caseload RESPONSIVE - Great Start in Life	1.51K	\
5.15 Children's Services - Ante-natal visits RESPONSIVE - Great Start in Life	93.2 %	_
5.16 Children's Services - 10-14 day new birth visit RESPONSIVE - Great Start in Life	94.8 %	~
5.17 Children's Services - 6-8 week visit RESPONSIVE - Great Start in Life	94.4 %	\\\

Integrated Board Report – Watch Metrics – Within Tolerance (4)

∀ Name ∨	Progress ~	Trend ~
5.18 Children's Services - 12 month review RESPONSIVE - Great Start in Life	98.0 %	\sim
5.19 Children's Services - 2.5 year review RESPONSIVE - Great Start in Life	95.1 %	/
5.27 Out of hours - telephone clinical assessment for URGENT cases within 20 minutes of call prioritisation RESPONSIVE- Best Quality Safest Care	32.4 %	~
5.28 Home visit: Face to face consultations started for URGENT cases within 2 hrs RESPONSIVE Best Quality Safest Care	90.5 %	\ <u>\</u>
6.1 Agency spend EFFICIENCY & FINANCE - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	£335.00K	~~
6.3 Capital spend EFFICIENCY & FINANCE - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	£16.25M	_
6.4 Cash balance EFFICIENCY & FINANCE - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	£9.25M	~~~
6.5.1 Long stay patients - stranded (>7 days LOS) EFFICIENCY & FINANCE - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	171	_
6.7.1 Length of stay - elective EFFICIENCY & FINANCE - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	2.6	~~
6.8 Avoidable admissions EFFICIENCY & FINANCE - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	195	~
6.9 Theatre utilisation (elective sessions- capped) EFFICIENCY & FINANCE - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	79.1 %	~~
6.10 Day case conversion rate EFFICIENCY & FINANCE - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	1.5 %	\

Integrated Board Report – Watch Metrics – Within Tolerance (5)

∀ Name ∨	Progress ∨	Trend ∨
7.1 GP Referrals against 2019/20 baseline ACTIVITY - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	114.0 %	~~
7.3 Elective activity against plan(EIP/EDC/OP+Proc), cumulative YTD - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	99.2%	
7.4 Non-elective activity(inpatient admissions) against plan ACTIVITY - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	96.0 %	\
7.5 Emergency Department attendances against plan - Person Centred, Integrated Care; Strong Partnerships	96.0 %	~~